

# On the Diagonalization of Quadratic Forms

T. Y. Lam, University of California, Berkeley, Ca 94720

## Introduction

An undergraduate course in linear algebra sometimes includes a treatment of the elementary theory of quadratic forms. This is not surprising since a quadratic form (over a field  $F$  of characteristic not 2) is essentially the same as a symmetric bilinear form, which is in turn the same as a symmetric matrix. The main theorem for quadratic forms proved in a typical linear algebra course is that any quadratic form  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  can be “diagonalized”, i.e., after a linear change of variables  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ,  $q$  can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i^2$ . Here, the  $a_i$ ’s are elements of  $F$ , some of which may be equal to zero. The *rank* of  $q$  is defined to be the number of nonzero  $a_i$ ’s, and (in case  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ) the *signature* of  $q$  is defined to be  $r - s$ , where  $r$  and  $s$  are respectively the number of positive and negative  $a_i$ ’s. Both the rank and the signature depend only on the isometry class of the quadratic form  $q$  (and does not depend on the particular diagonalization taken); see, e.g. [1: §5.3], [3: Ch. 9].

While most textbooks offer exercises for the diagonalization of quadratic forms in a small number of variables (say  $n \leq 5$ ), there seem to be few good examples for the diagonalization of quadratic forms in  $n$  variables. In teaching a course in quadratic form theory, I recently came across the following four explicit  $n$ -ary forms:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \min\{i, j\} x_i x_j, & q_2(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \max\{i, j\} x_i x_j, \\ q_3(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (i + j) x_i x_j, & q_4(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n |i - j| x_i x_j. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 4$  (for example), these quadratic forms have the following symmetric matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The diagonalization for the quadratic forms  $q_i$  above turns out to be an interesting exercise, the solution of which also leads to some nontrivial conclusions about the forms themselves. I shall offer my solution(s) below as an illustration for the general

process of diagonalizing quadratic forms, for those colleagues who may want to present some challenging examples in a linear algebra class.

Throughout this note, we work over the field of rational numbers, although our main computations are valid over any field of characteristic not 2.

### The Forms $q_1, q_2$

We start with the form  $q_1$ . To diagonalize it, note that

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1^2 + 2x_1(x_2 + \cdots + x_n) + \sum_{i,j=2}^n \min\{i, j\} x_i x_j \\ &= (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 - (x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 + 2x_2^2 + 4x_2(x_3 + \cdots + x_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=3}^n \min\{i, j\} x_i x_j \\ &= (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2 + (x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 - 2(x_3 + \cdots + x_n)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=3}^n \min\{i, j\} x_i x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process to the end, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\mathbf{x}) &= (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 + (x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 + \cdots + (x_{n-1} + x_n)^2 \\ &\quad - (n-1)x_n^2 + nx_n^2 \\ &= (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 + (x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^2 + \cdots + (x_{n-1} + x_n)^2 + x_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, once we derived this equation, it can also be checked directly by comparing the coefficients of  $x_i x_j$  on the two sides. It follows that  $q_1$  has rank  $n$  and signature  $n$ . The above sum-of-squares expression for  $q_1$  has appeared in [2]. The fact that  $q_1$  is positive definite was used in [2] in an ingenious way to show that a certain representation ring of a cyclic  $p$ -group has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

For the form  $q_2$ , we start the diagonalization process from the last variable:

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(\mathbf{x}) &= nx_n^2 + 2nx_n(x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \max\{i, j\} x_i x_j \\ &= n(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2 - n(x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})^2 \\ &\quad + (n-1)(x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})^2 - (n-1)(x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-2})^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-2} \max\{i, j\} x_i x_j \\ &= n(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2 - (x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})^2 - (n-1)(x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-2})^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-2} \max\{i, j\} x_i x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process to the end, we arrive at the diagonalization

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(\mathbf{x}) &= n(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2 - (x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})^2 - \cdots - (x_1 + x_2)^2 - 2x_1^2 + x_1^2 \\ &= n(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2 - (x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})^2 - \cdots - (x_1 + x_2)^2 - x_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, again, can be checked directly by comparing coefficients. In particular,  $q_2$  has rank  $n$  and signature  $1 - (n-1) = 2 - n$ . Here, we get as a bonus a criterion for  $q_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  to be nonnegative for real numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , namely:

$$n(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^2 \geq (x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1})^2 + (x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-2})^2 + \cdots + x_1^2.$$

An alternative way to obtain a diagonalization for  $q_2$  is to use the following interesting “reciprocal” relation between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ :

$$(*) \quad q_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) + q_1(x_n, \dots, x_1) = (n+1)(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2.$$

This can be proved either by using the explicit forms of the symmetric matrices for the two forms on the left-hand-side, or by relabelling the variables backwards in  $q_2(\mathbf{x})$  and using the max-min relation:

$$\max\{n-i+1, n-j+1\} = n+1 - \min\{i, j\}.$$

Given the equation (\*), we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (n+1)(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2 - q_1(x_n, \dots, x_1) \\ &= (n+1)(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2 - ((x_n + \dots + x_1)^2 + (x_{n-1} + \dots + x_1)^2 \\ &\quad + \dots + (x_2 + x_1)^2 + x_1^2) \\ &= n(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2 - (x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1})^2 - \dots - (x_1 + x_2)^2 - x_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

as before.

The explicit diagonalizations for  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  above also lead to various formulas relating the  $n$ -ary forms  $q_i$  to their  $(n-1)$ -ary versions. The exploration of these further relations will be left to the reader.

### The Forms $q_3, q_4$

Coming now to the form  $q_3$ , we first note, in the spirit of (\*), that  $q_3(\mathbf{x}) = q_1(\mathbf{x}) + q_2(\mathbf{x})$ . However, the two diagonalizations obtained for  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are *not* mutually compatible, so just adding them does not lead to a diagonalization of  $q_3$ . Thus, we must deal with the diagonalization problem from scratch.

Fortunately, there is a good way out. Upon noting that  $\sum_{i,j} i x_i x_j = \sum_{i,j} j x_i x_j$ , we can write  $q_3 = 2f$  for the quadratic form  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j} i x_i x_j$ . Now  $f$  factors into  $(\sum_i i x_i)(\sum_j x_j)$ . Therefore, using the identity  $uv = [(u+v)^2 - (u-v)^2]/4$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_3(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{2}{4} \left[ \left( \sum_i i x_i + \sum_i x_i \right)^2 - \left( \sum_i i x_i - \sum_i x_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (2x_1 + 3x_2 + \dots + (n+1)x_n)^2 - (x_2 + 2x_3 + \dots + (n-1)x_n)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This yields the diagonalization  $q_3 = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 - z_2^2)$  with  $z_1 = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + \dots + (n+1)x_n$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + 2x_3 + \dots + (n-1)x_n$ . In particular,  $q_3$  has rank 2 and signature

0, and we get the interesting bonus conclusion that, for real variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , we have  $\sum_{i,j} (i+j) x_i x_j \geq 0$  iff

$$|2x_1 + 3x_2 + \dots + (n+1)x_n| \geq |x_2 + 2x_3 + \dots + (n-1)x_n|.$$

We observe in passing that the above method can actually be used to handle the more general form  $q := \sum_{i,j} (a_i + b_j) x_i x_j$ , for arbitrary elements  $a_i, b_j$  in the field. One sets  $c_i = (a_i + b_i)/2$  to rewrite  $q$  as  $2g$  for  $g = \sum_{i,j} c_i x_i x_j$ , after which we can proceed as before.

Finally, we come to the form  $q_4$ . The diagonalization of  $q_4$  would have been pretty difficult without the work above. Given what we have already done, however, a solution can be worked out. We start out again by noting that  $q_4(\mathbf{x}) = q_2(\mathbf{x}) - q_1(\mathbf{x})$ . This in itself does not yield a diagonalization for  $q_4$ , but we can further replace  $q_2(\mathbf{x})$  by  $q_3(\mathbf{x}) - q_1(\mathbf{x})$  to write  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  as  $q_3(\mathbf{x}) - 2q_1(\mathbf{x})$ . Now introduce the new variables  $y_i = x_i + x_{i+1} + \dots + x_n$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Using our earlier results on  $q_1$  and  $q_3$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} q_4(\mathbf{x}) &= 2(x_1 + \dots + x_n)(x_1 + 2x_2 + \dots + nx_n) - 2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) \\ &= 2y_1(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n) - 2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) \\ &= 2y_1(y_2 + \dots + y_n) - 2(y_2^2 + \dots + y_n^2) \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \left( \frac{y_1}{2} \right)^2 - y_1 y_i + y_i^2 \right] + 2(n-1) \left( \frac{y_1}{2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} y_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^n (y_1 - 2y_i)^2 \\ &= (n-1)(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2/2 - (x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n)^2/2 \\ &\quad - (x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - \dots - x_n)^2/2 - \dots - (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} - x_n)^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired diagonalization of  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  (over the rationals). In particular, we conclude that, like  $q_2$ ,  $q_4$  has rank  $n$  and signature  $2 - n$ . Note that the above diagonalization of  $q_4$  also leads to a criterion for  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  to be nonnegative for a given real vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

As further exercises, the reader may try to diagonalize the following quadratic forms:

$$x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1} x_n, \quad x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1} x_n + x_n x_1,$$

and  $\sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$ .

## Epilogue

After I mentioned the above diagonalization of the form  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  in a lecture at the University of Illinois, Professor Kenneth Stolarsky pointed out to me a number of

references concerning  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$ . To my great surprise (as well as delight), it turned out that the form  $q_4$  had been studied by my late Berkeley colleague Raphael M. Robinson sixty five years ago! Robinson [4] posed the computation of the determinant

$$D_n := \det(q_4(\mathbf{x})) = \det(|i - j|)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

as Problem 3667 in the MAA *Monthly* in 1934, and a little later, posed as Problem 3705 the computation of the signature of  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  over the real numbers. The latter problem was solved by G. Szegö in [6]. Szegö obtained the recurrence relation

$$D_n = -4(D_{n-1} + D_{n-2}),$$

whereby he proved by induction on  $n$  that  $D_n = (-1)^{n-1}2^{n-2}(n-1)$ . Using a formula of Frobenius, Szegö then showed that  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  has real signature  $2 - n$ . Szegö also considered the Hermitian form  $\sum_{i,j} |i-j| x_i \bar{x}_j$ , and related it to the Fourier series expansions of periodic functions. The two solutions Szegö presented to Robinson's problems occupied six pages of the *Monthly*, and read like a paper in itself. This was followed by an even longer "Editorial Note", which occupied another eight pages. The total number of pages, 14, must have been a record for the amount of space ever devoted by the MAA *Monthly* to the solution of a single problem. However, the problem of an explicit diagonalization of  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$  over the rationals was not considered by Robinson or Szegö.

A few years later, in 1937, I. J. Schoenberg [5: §6] considered the quadratic form

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |P_i - P_j|^\alpha x_i x_j \quad (0 < \alpha < 2),$$

where  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are distinct points in an euclidean space, and  $|P_i - P_j|$  denote their euclidean distances. Schoenberg showed that this form is nonsingular with real signature  $2 - n$ , and that it is negative definite on the hyperplane  $\sum_i x_i = 0$ . In the case when  $|P_i - P_j| = |i - j|$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , this, of course, also follows from our diagonalization of the form  $q_4(\mathbf{x})$ . I am very much indebted to Professor K. Stolarsky for pointing out the above pertinent references.

## References

- [1] B. Jacob: Linear Algebra, W. H. Freeman and Co., N.Y., 1990.
- [2] T. A. Hannula, T. G. Rally and I. Reiner: Modular representation algebras, Bull. Amer.Math. Soc. **73**(1967), 100-101.
- [3] K. Hoffman and R. Kunze: Linear Algebra, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1971.

- [4] R. M. Robinson: Problems 3667, 3705, Amer. Math. Monthly **41**(1934), p. 193 and p. 581.
- [5] I. J. Schoenberg: On certain metric spaces arising from euclidean spaces by a change of metric and their imbedding in Hilbert space, Annals of Math. **38**(1937), 787-793.
- [6] G. Szegö: Solution to Problem 3705, Amer. Math. Monthly **43**(1936), 246-259.

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, Ca 94720  
lammath.berkeley.edu

The above work appeared as a short note “*On the diagonalization of quadratic forms*” in Math. Magazine **72**(1999). 231-235,