

# MATH 110 Lecture Notes 25

GSI Carter

August 5, 2008

## 1 Normal and Self-Adjoint Operators

**Definition.** Let  $T$  be an operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space  $V$ . Then  $T^*$  is also an operator on  $V$ , and  $T$  is said to be *normal* if  $TT^* = T^*T$ . If  $T = T^*$ , then we call  $T$  a *self-adjoint* operator.

**Example.** Any real, symmetric matrix is self-adjoint. Any real, skew-symmetric matrix is normal but not self-adjoint.

**Theorem.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of a normal operator  $T$ . Then  $E_\lambda$  is both  $T$ - and  $T^*$ -invariant.

**Proof.** Any eigenspace of  $T$  is always  $T$ -invariant. To see that  $E_\lambda$  is also  $T^*$ -invariant, let  $v \in N(T - \lambda I)$ . Then

$$(T - \lambda I)T^*(v) = T^*(T - \lambda I)(v) = T^*(0) = 0,$$

so  $T^*(v) \in N(T - \lambda I)$ .

**Spectral Theorem.** Let  $T$  be a normal operator on a finite-dimensional complex inner product space  $V$ . Then there exists an orthonormal basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . If  $T$  is self-adjoint as well, then all the eigenvalues of  $T$  are real.

**Proof.** For the first claim, we use induction on  $\dim V$ . Since every polynomial splits over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $T$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then  $E_\lambda$  is both  $T$ - and  $T^*$ -invariant. By exercise 6.4.7,  $E_\lambda^\perp$  is also  $T^*$ - and  $T$ -invariant (since  $T^{**} = T$ ), and  $T|_{E_\lambda^\perp}$  is also normal. Since  $\dim E_\lambda^\perp < \dim V$ , there exists an orthonormal basis for  $E_\lambda^\perp$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$  by induction. Using Gram-Schmidt, we can produce an orthonormal basis for  $E_\lambda$ . Since  $V = E_\lambda \oplus E_\lambda^\perp$ , the union of these two bases gives a basis for  $V$ . Then by the definition of  $E_\lambda^\perp$ , the resulting basis for  $V$  is still orthonormal, which proves the first claim.

Now let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue for a self-adjoint operator  $T$ . Let  $v$  be a nonzero eigenvector in  $E_\lambda$ . Then

$$\lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle.$$

Therefore  $(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ . Since  $v \neq 0$ ,  $\langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$ , so  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ . Therefore  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be eigenvectors of a normal operator with distinct eigenvalues. Then  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are orthogonal.

**Example.** Find an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

## 2 Unitary and Orthogonal Operators

**Definition.** Let  $T$  be a linear operator over a finite-dimensional inner product space  $V$ , and suppose  $\|T(x)\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in V$ . Then  $T$  is called *unitary* if  $V$  is a complex inner product space and *orthogonal* if  $V$  is a real inner product space.

**Examples.** Any rotation or reflection in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is orthogonal, and the composition of orthogonal operators is orthogonal (same holds for unitary). Also, if  $V = C[a, b]$  with the convolution integral inner product and  $h \in C[a, b]$  has the property that  $|h(x)| = 1$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $T(f) = hf$  is either unitary or orthogonal.

**Lemma.** Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for an inner product space  $V$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2.$$

**Proof.** We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \right\|^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j v_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \bar{a}_j \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{a}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space  $V$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $TT^* = T^*T = I$ .
2.  $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .
3. For any orthonormal basis  $\beta$  for  $V$ ,  $T(\beta)$  is also an orthonormal basis for  $V$ .
4. There exists an orthonormal basis  $\beta$  for  $V$  such that  $T(\beta)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$ .
5.  $\|T(x)\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in V$ .

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check that (1) implies (2), (2) implies (3), and (3) implies (4). To prove that (5) implies (1), let  $x \in V$ . Then

$$\langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|T(x)\|^2 = \langle T(x), T(x) \rangle = \langle x, T^*T(x) \rangle$$

so that

$$\langle x, (T^*T - I)(x) \rangle = 0.$$

Letting  $U = T^*T - I$ ,  $U$  is self-adjoint (since  $I^* = I$ ), so there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $U$ . Then for each  $i$ ,

$$0 = \langle v_i, U(v_i) \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_i \langle v_i, v_i \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_i.$$

Therefore  $U = 0$ , which proves the claim.

To prove that (4) implies (5), let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V$  such that  $T(\beta)$  is also an orthonormal basis for  $V$ . Then for any  $x \in V$ ,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$$

so that  $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2$ . Then

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(v_i).$$

Since  $T(\beta)$  is also orthonormal,  $\|T(x)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2$ .

**Definition.** The matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be *unitarily equivalent* if there is a unitary matrix  $P$  such that  $A = P^*BP$ . (Note that this is stronger than similarity.) Orthogonally equivalent matrices are defined similarly.

**Corollary.** Any normal operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space  $V$  is unitarily or orthogonally equivalent to a diagonal matrix.