

Math 252: Representation Theory

Exercises XVII

Problem 4. Let $H \subseteq G$ and let V be a kH -module. Make $U := \text{Hom}_{kH}(kG, V)$ into a left kG -module by $(g \cdot f)(\alpha) = f(\alpha g)$ ($g \in G, f \in U, \alpha \in kG$). Show that U is kG -isomorphic to the induced module $V^G = kG \otimes_{kH} V$.

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r be a complete set of coset representatives for H in G , so that $G = \bigcup x_i H = \bigcup H x_i^{-1}$. Recall that we may write $V^G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (x_i \otimes V)$ as k -subspaces.

We define a kG -module homomorphism $\varphi : \text{Hom}_{kH}(kG, V) \rightarrow kG \otimes_{kH} V$ by

$$\varphi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes f(x_i^{-1}).$$

Clearly φ is additive: $\varphi(f + f') = \varphi(f) + \varphi(f')$.

We verify that φ is a kG -module homomorphism, as if $g \in G$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(gf) &= \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes (gf)(x_i^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes f(x_i^{-1}g) \end{aligned}$$

but writing $x_i^{-1}g = h_i x_{i'}^{-1}$ for some $h_i \in H$ and possibly different coset representative $x_{i'}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes f(h_i x_{i'}^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes h_i f(x_{i'}^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r x_i h_i \otimes f(x_{i'}^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r g x_{i'} \otimes f(x_{i'}^{-1}) \\ &= g \varphi(f). \end{aligned}$$

Next we check that φ is injective. If $\varphi(f) = 0$, then $f(x_i^{-1}) = 0$ and hence $f(hx_i^{-1}) = hf(x_i^{-1}) = 0$ for any $h \in H$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$. However since $G = \bigcup Hx_i^{-1}$ we see that f is identically 0 on all of G , i.e. $f \equiv 0$.

Solution by Bradley Froehle (bfroehle@math.berkeley.edu).

Finally we verify that φ is surjective. It suffices to construct an element $f \in \text{Hom}_{kH}(kG, V)$ so that $\varphi(f) = x_i \otimes v$ for any $v \in V$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let us define f on elements $g \in G$ as

$$f(g) = \begin{cases} hv & \text{if } g = hx_i^{-1} \text{ (} h \in H \text{)} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and extend linearly to kG . The $\varphi(f) = x_i \otimes v$ and hence φ is surjective.

We conclude by noting that since φ is a kG -module isomorphism, U is kG -isomorphic to V^G . \square

Remark. Note that this proof relies heavily on the fact that $[G : H] < \infty$, and in general the conclusion does not hold if $[G : H] = \infty$.