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## 1. Introduction

This research was done with collaborators David Bruce, Taylor Brysiewicz, Robert Krone, and Elina Robeva. This was inspired by a Fortnight of Apprenticeship orchestrated by Bernd Sturmfels, which had fitness exercises. Determining the degree of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ was one of the exercises.

Definition 1. The group $\operatorname{SO}(n)$ is defined as

$$
\mathrm{SO}(n):=\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})=\left\{M \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n, n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \operatorname{det} M=1, \quad M^{t} M=\operatorname{Id}\right\}
$$

Since these conditions are polynomials in the entries of the matrix $M$, we can view $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ as a complex variety. Our main result was to find the degree of this complex variety.

Definition 2. The degree of a complex variety $X$ is the generic number of intersection points of $X$ with a linear space of complementary dimension. (by generic, we mean that the intersection with the linear space is transverse).

Why do we care about the degree of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ ? The degree is a fundamental piece of data about a variety that one would want to know about it, just like its dimension. Also, it provides the degree of low-rank semidefinite programming and is used to find the number of critical points for certain optimization problems.

Theorem 1. The degree of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is given by:

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{SO}(n)=2^{n-1} \operatorname{det}\left(\binom{2 n-2 i-2 j}{n-2 i}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}
$$

Using Gröbner bases, one can compute the degree for small values, but this fails quickly. The Gröbner basis for $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ has 344 elements, coming from 26 equations in 25 variables. Further, numerical techniques using monodromy have been developed for computing witness sets for the variety, and these give two more values for the degree of $\operatorname{SO}(n)$.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | Symbolic | Numerical | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 4 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 5 | 384 | 384 | 384 |
| 6 | - | 4768 | 4768 |
| 7 | - | 111616 | 111616 |
| 8 | - | - | 3433600 |
| 9 | - | - | 196968448 |

The focus of this talk will be the proof of this result, because in principle, our proof gives a roadmap for finding degrees of other algebraic groups. Our proof of this theorem uses a formula of Kazarnovskij [Kaz87] for the degree of the image of a representation of a connected, reductive, linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field.

## 2. Proof of Main Result

I will now ignore some language from Lie Theory that we used to prove this result. If you know what these things are, that is great; otherwise, the important thing to know is that each of these pieces of data is easily computable for the group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, and I will immediately say what it is. But this is the main theorem we used to prove our result.

Theorem 2 (Kazarnovskij's Theorem (Prop 4.7.18 [DK02])). Let $G$ be a connected reductive group of dimension $m$ and rank $r$ over an algebraically closed field. If $\rho$ : $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a representation with finite kernel then,

$$
\operatorname{deg} \overline{\rho(G)}=\frac{m!}{|W(G)|\left(e_{1}!e_{2}!\cdots e_{r}!\right)^{2}|\operatorname{ker}(\rho)|} \int_{C_{V}}\left(\check{\alpha}_{1} \check{\alpha}_{2} \cdots \check{\alpha}_{l}\right)^{2} d v
$$

where $W(G)$ is the Weyl group, $e_{i}$ are Coxeter exponents, $C_{V}$ is the convex hull of the weights, and $\check{\alpha}_{i}$ are the coroots.

The first step is to plug in the data about $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ in to this result, with the regular representation.

| Group | Dimension | Rank | Positive Roots | Weights | $\|W(G)\|$ | Coxeter Exponents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SO}(2 r)$ | $\binom{2 r}{2}$ | $r$ | $\left\{e_{i} \pm e_{j}\right\}_{i<j}$ | $\left\{ \pm e_{i}\right\}$ | $r!2^{r-1}$ | $1,3, \ldots, 2 r-3, r-1$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c}2 r+1 \\ 2\end{array}\right)$ | $r$ | $\left\{e_{i} \pm e_{j}\right\}_{i<j} \cup\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ | $\left\{ \pm e_{i}\right\}$ | $r!2^{r}$ | $1,3,5, \ldots, 2 r-1$ |

I will do this only for the odd case, but the odd case follows similarly. Miraculously, they collapse in to one formula.

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)=\frac{\binom{2 r+1}{2}!}{r!2^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{r}(2 k-1)!^{2}} \underbrace{\int_{C_{V}}\left(\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(2 x_{i}\right)^{2}\right) d v}_{I_{\text {odd }}}
$$

The main step is to evaluate the integral, $I_{\text {odd }}$. The first step is to turn the integrand into monomials. We use the well-known expression for the determinant of the Vandermonde matrix $\left(m_{i, j}=x_{i}^{j}\right)$ :

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(y_{j}-y_{i}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\sigma(\mathrm{i})-1}
$$

Substituting $y_{i}=x_{i}^{2}$ and squaring the entire expression yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}=\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2 \sigma(\mathrm{i})+2 \tau(\mathrm{i})-4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, since the integrands are even in all variables, we can simplfy the region over which we integrate. Specifically, the integrals over $C_{V}$ are $2^{r}$ times the same integrals over $\Delta_{r}$, the standard $r$-simplex.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{o d d}(r) & =2^{r} \int_{\Delta_{r}} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(2 x_{i}\right)^{2} d v \\
& =2^{r} \int_{\Delta_{r}}\left(\sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2 \sigma(\mathrm{i})+2 \tau(\mathrm{i})-4}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(2 x_{i}\right)^{2} d v \\
& =2^{3 r} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) \int_{\Delta_{\mathrm{r}}} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2 \sigma(\mathrm{i})+2 \tau(\mathrm{i})-2} \mathrm{dv} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we apply the following proposition.
Proposition 1 (Lemma 4.23 [Mil14]). Let $\Delta_{r} \subset \mathbb{R}^{r}$ be the standard r-simplex. If $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{r}$ then

$$
\int_{\Delta_{r}} x^{\mathbf{a}} d \mathbf{x}=\frac{1}{\left(\sum a_{i}+r\right)!} \prod_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}!
$$

Using the fact that the integrand is homogeneous of degree $4\binom{r}{2}+2 r$, we obtain

$$
I_{o d d}(r)=\frac{2^{3 r}}{\left(4\binom{r}{2}+3 r\right)!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}}(2 \sigma(\mathrm{i})+2 \tau(\mathrm{i})-2)!,
$$

which after replacing $i$ with $\sigma^{-1}(i)$ gives us

$$
I_{o d d}(r)=\frac{2^{3 r}}{\left(4\binom{r}{2}+3 r\right)!} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 \mathrm{i}+2 \tau \sigma^{-1}(\mathrm{i})-2\right)!,
$$

Let $\rho=\tau \sigma^{-1}$. Over all pairs $\sigma, \tau \in S_{r}$, permutation $\rho$ takes the value of each permutation in $S_{r}$ exactly $r$ ! times, and $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau)=\operatorname{sgn}(\rho)$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{o d d}(r) & =\frac{r!2^{3 r}}{\left(4\binom{r}{2}+3 r\right)!} \sum_{\rho \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho) \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{r}}(2 \mathrm{i}+2 \rho(\mathrm{i})-2)! \\
& =\frac{r!2^{3 r}}{\left(4\binom{r}{2}+3 r\right)!} \operatorname{det}((2 i+2 j-2)!)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The main theorem now follows directly from some simplification, by pushing factorial factors from the denominator in to the rows and columns of the matrix to make binomial coefficients.

## 3. Non-Intersecting Lattice Paths

The formula given in the main theorem can be interpreted as a count of non-intersecting lattice paths via the Gessel-Viennot Lemma [GV85].
Lemma 1 (Gessel-Viennot (Weak Version)). Let $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\} \subseteq$ $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Let $M_{i, j}$ be the number of North-East lattice paths from $a_{i}$ to $b_{j}$. If the only way that a system of North-East lattice paths from $A \rightarrow B$ do not cross each other is by sending $a_{i} \mapsto b_{i}$ then the determinant of $M$ is given by the number of such non-intersecting lattice paths.

Example Here is an example of a point configuration, and we will describe a northeast lattice path and how to count all such paths.


Since the total number of steps taken is 6 and 3 of these must be eastward, we have that the total number of paths is $\binom{6}{3}$. If we add two more points at $(-1,0)$ and $(1,0)$, the matrix $M$ in this case is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
6 \\
3
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
4 \\
4 \\
3
\end{array}\right)
$$

Its determinant is 24 , which is $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{SO}(5)) / 2^{4}$.
The determinant appearing in the degree of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ has a natural interpretation via Gessel-Viennot because binomial coefficients count lattice paths.

## Theorem 3.

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{SO}(n)=2^{n-1}(\#\{\text { Non-Intersecting Lattice Paths from } A \text { to } B\})
$$

where the positions of $A$ and $B$ are given by $a_{i}=(2 i-n, 0), b_{j}=(0, n-2 j)$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$.

This suggests a relationship between these non-intersecting lattice paths and the degree of $\operatorname{SO}(n)$, but we currently do not have any meaningful understanding of the nature of this relationship. Such an interpretation could be interesting, and so we pose the following question: Does Theorem 3 have a deeper combinatorial interpretation?

## 4. Computational Methods: Monodromy

We tried several computational methods, but the most efficient and effective proved to be monodromy techniques. The idea is as follows:

1. Choose a random linear space $L$ of complimentary dimension $n^{2}-\binom{n}{2}$ containing a favorite matrix $I$.
2. $I$ is one point (out of $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{SO}(n))$ many points) lying inside $L \cap \mathrm{SO}(n)$. Now, move $L$ along a path to a new linear space $L^{\prime}$. While this happens, track where $I$ moves inside of $L$. Numerical algebraic geometry can do this easily (each step is Newton's method which is linear algebra).
3. Move $L^{\prime}$ back to $L$, along a different path, and still keep track of where $I$ is moving. Now, we most likely have an entirely different point $I^{\prime} \in L \cap \mathrm{SO}(n)$.
4. Repeat this process, hoping to populate all of $L \cap \mathrm{SO}(n)$. (The monodromy group is transitive because the variety is connected and irreducible, meaning there exists a path we could track between any two points)
5. There is a stopping criterion called the trace test. You move the linear cut in a linear fashion, and track the average of the solutions. This should change linearly as well. This turns out to be if and only if.

For $\mathrm{SO}(7)$, this terminated in about 12 hours. (Thanks to Anton Leykin for the software, which will probably be released in the next Macaulay2 package.)
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