

# MATH 74, FALL 2004, HOMEWORK 13 SOLUTIONS

BENJAMIN JOHNSON

*Due December 1*

**Assignment:** 3.4.4; 3.4.8

3.4.4 Let  $f : F \rightarrow K$  be a field isomorphism (using the book's definition).

(1) Show  $f(0_F) = 0_K$  and  $f(1_F) = 1_K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in K$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, there is  $x \in F$  with  $f(x) = y$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(0_F) +_K y &= f(0_F) +_K f(x) \text{ (since } y = f(x)\text{)} \\ &= f(0_F +_F x) \text{ (since } f \text{ preserves } +\text{)} \\ &= f(x) \text{ (since } 0_F \text{ is an additive identity element for } F\text{)} \\ &= y \text{ (since } y = f(x)\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f(0_F)$  is a left additive identity element for  $K$ . Since the additive identity element for a field is unique,  $f(0_F) = 0_K$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(1_F) \cdot_K y &= f(1_F) \cdot_K f(x) \text{ (since } y = f(x)\text{)} \\ &= f(1_F \cdot_F x) \text{ (since } f \text{ respects } \cdot\text{)} \\ &= f(x) \text{ (since } 1_F \text{ is a multiplicative identity element for } F\text{)} \\ &= y \text{ (since } y = f(x)\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f(1_F)$  is a left multiplicative identity element for  $K$ . Since the multiplicative identity element for a field is unique,  $f(1_F) = 1_K$ . □

(2)  $f(-_F x) = -_K f(x)$  for each  $x \in F$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(-_F x) +_K f(x) &= f(-_F x +_F x) \text{ (since } f \text{ respects } +\text{)} \\ &= f(0_F) \text{ (since } -_F x \text{ is an additive inverse for } x \text{ in } F\text{)} \\ &= 0_K \text{ (by part (1) above)} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f(-_F x)$  is a left additive inverse for  $f(x)$  in  $K$ . Since the additive inverse for  $f(x)$  in  $K$  is unique,  $f(-_F x) = -_K f(x)$ . Since  $x$  was an arbitrary element of  $F$ , the result holds for every  $x \in F$ . □

(3)  $f(x^{-1_F}) = (f(x))^{-1_K}$  for each  $x \in F$  with  $x \neq 0$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in F$  with  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{-1_F}) \cdot_K f(x) &= f(x^{-1_F} \cdot_F x) \text{ (since } f \text{ respects } \cdot \text{)} \\ &= f(1_F) \text{ (since } x \neq 0_F \text{ and thus } x^{-1_F} \text{ is a multiplicative inverse for } x \text{ in } F\text{)} \\ &= 1_K \text{ (by part (1) above)} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f(x^{-1_F})$  is a left multiplicative inverse for  $f(x)$  in  $K$ . Since the multiplicative inverse for  $f(x)$  in  $K$  is unique,  $f(x^{-1_F}) = (f(x))^{-1_K}$ . Since  $x$  was an arbitrary nonzero element of  $F$ , the result holds for every  $x \in F$  with  $x \neq 0_F$ .  $\square$

3.4.8 Let  $f : F \rightarrow K$  be a surjection from a field  $F$  onto a field  $K$  that preserves addition and multiplication. Suppose  $(\forall x \in F)(f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$ . Show  $f$  is a field isomorphism.

*Proof.* From the book's definition of field isomorphism, it remains only to show that  $f$  is injective. Let  $x, y \in F$ , and suppose that  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x +_F (-_F y)) &= f(x) +_K f(-_F y) \text{ (since } f \text{ preserves addition)} \\ &= f(x) +_K (-_K f(y)) \text{ (by exercise 3.4.4(2), } f(-_F y) = -_K f(y)\text{)} \\ &= f(x) +_K (-_K f(x)) \text{ (since } f(x) = f(y)\text{)} \\ &= 0_K \text{ (since } -_K f(x) \text{ is an additive inverse for } f(x) \text{ in } K\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $f(x +_F (-_F y)) = 0_K$ , our assumption tells us that  $x +_F (-_F y) = 0_F$ . Adding  $y$  to both sides of this equation yields  $x = y$ . We've shown that  $(\forall x, y \in F)(f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$ . So  $f$  is injective and hence is a field isomorphism.  $\square$