

MODULI OF ABELIAN VARIETIES AND THEIR COMPACTIFICATIONS

MARTIN OLSSON

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}_{1,1} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{A}_j^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_j^1
 \end{array}$$

Here $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is the moduli space of flat families $C \rightarrow T$ with a section such that the geometric fibers are either an elliptic curve or a nodal cubic.

There are two possible generalizations: First, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, the Deligne-Mumford-Knudsen compactification. Second, \mathcal{A}_g is the moduli space of abelian varieties of dimension g with principal polarization. (An abelian variety is a complete variety with a group structure.)

Question 0.1. How to compactify \mathcal{A}_g ?

What properties should the compactification $\mathcal{A}_g \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ have?

- (a) $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ should be proper over \mathbb{Z} , and $\mathcal{A}_g \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ should be dense open.
- (b) $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ should represent a “simple” functor.
- (c) $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ should be (nearly) smooth, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g - \mathcal{A}_g$ divisor with normal crossings (i.e., it is log smooth). One could then blow-up to make it smooth, but one would lose the simple modular meaning.
- (d) There should be an analytic theory at $\partial\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$, generalizing the Tate curve.
- (e) There is a combinatorial description of $\partial\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$.
- (f) There should be variants with level structure and higher degree polarizations.

History:

- Satake compactification (1956): this was singular at the boundary.
- Toroidal compactifications
 - Mumford et al (1975).
 - Faltings and Chai (1990).
 - Kajiwara, Kato, Nakayama (2005).
- Alexeev (2002), Nakamura: constructed a functor that is complete but contains many components. This suggests that there should exist a canonical compactification.

1. RETHINKING \mathcal{A}_g

Let B be a base scheme. Let A/B be an abelian scheme. Let $P \rightarrow B$ be a principal homogeneous space under A . Now $\mathbf{Pic}(P)$ classifies invertible sheaves on P (relative to B). It has a subspace $\mathbf{Pic}^0(P)$, the connected component of the class $[\mathcal{O}_P]$ of the structure sheaf.

Remark 1.1. Suppose $P = A$. Then $\mathbf{Pic}^0(A) = A^t = \mathbf{Ext}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m)$, the dual abelian variety. This is the functor

$$T \mapsto \{(L, \iota: e^*L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_T)\}$$

where L is a line bundle on A_T . Given L on P , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_L: A &\rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}^0(P) \\ a &\mapsto [t_a^*L \otimes L^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Consider the set of (A, P, L, θ) such that A is an abelian variety of dimension g over B , $f: P \rightarrow B$ is an A -torsor, L is a line bundle on P such that $\lambda_L: A \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}^0(P) = A^t$ is an isomorphism, and $\theta \in f^*L$ is nonvanishing in every fiber.

This maps to $\mathcal{A}_g(B)$.

Proposition 1.2. *This map is an equivalence.*

Upshot: One can think of \mathcal{A}_g as classifying (A, P, L, θ) 's.

Idea: let A degenerate to a semiabelian variety, and let P degenerate too. We will need to add some log structure too.

2. GEOMETRIC POINTS OF $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$

Suppose $k = \overline{k}$. A *semiabelian variety* G/k is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^r$. Let X be the character group $\mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Giving an extension of A by \mathbb{G}_m^n is the same as giving a homomorphism

$$c: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_m) = A^t.$$

For any $x \in X$, we have a rigidified line bundle L_x on A (rigidified = equipped with a value at 0), and a canonical $L_x \otimes L_y \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{x,y}$. Then $\mathbf{Spec}_A \bigoplus_{x \in X} L_x = G$.

Let $a: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a possibly non-homogeneous quadratic function with positive-definite homogeneous part. Let

$$\Gamma_a = \{(x, a(x)) : x \in X\} \subseteq X_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let S be the set of domains of linearity in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the convex hull of Γ_a . So S is a collection of polytopes in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Note that S is X -invariant. Assume that the vertices of S are integral.

Let P be the set of integral points of $\mathrm{Cone}(1, X_{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times X_{\mathbb{R}}$. For $\omega \in S$, let P_{ω} be the set of integral points of $\mathrm{Cone}(1, \omega)$.

Example: $A = \mathrm{pt}$, $T = \mathbb{G}_m$. We have the monoid algebra $k[(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}]$ on P . Take the quotient R by all relations $x_n x_m = 0$ such that n, m do not lie in some P_{ω} . Now $\mathrm{Proj} R$ is an infinite chain of \mathbb{P}^1 . It has an action of \mathbb{Z} , and the quotient is the nodal cubic.

In general, suppose we have

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

over $k = \bar{k}$. Fix a line bundle \mathcal{M} on A defining a principal polarization. Let $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{(d,x) \in P} \mathcal{M}^d \otimes L_x$. Define multiplication by

$$(\mathcal{M}^d \otimes L_x) \otimes (\mathcal{M}^{d'} \otimes L_y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{d+d'} \otimes L_{x+y}$$

to be 0 if (d, x) and (d', y) do not lie in some P_ω , and to be the canonical map otherwise. Let $\tilde{P} = \mathbf{Proj}_A \mathcal{R}$. To get the X -action, use symmetric biextensions. The quotient $P = \tilde{P}/X$ exists as a scheme, and comes with a line bundle L .

The (G, P, L, θ) almost correspond to boundary points. The functor $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ sends B to almost the category of (G, P, L, θ) over B where G is semiabelian, $f: P \rightarrow B$ is proper with a G -action, L is a line bundle on P , and $\theta \in f^*L$ is nonvanishing in every fiber, such that every geometric fiber is isomorphic to

- (1) A torsor under an abelian variety with L such that $\lambda_L: A \rightarrow A^t$ is an isomorphism, or
- (2) previous construction.

Actually, the first case is a special case (with $T = \{1\}$) of the second case.

Actually, the functor sends B to the category of $(G, P, L, \theta, M_B, M_P)$ where G is semiabelian, $f: (P, M_P) \rightarrow (B, M_B)$ is log smooth and proper with a G -action, L is a line bundle on P , and $\theta \in f^*L$ is nonvanishing in every fiber, such that every geometric fiber is isomorphic to etc. Here M_B and M_P are log structures.

If we look at the coarse moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_g$ (called the second Voronoi compactification by Faltings-Chai), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g & & \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g^{\text{FC}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \overline{\mathcal{A}}_g & \end{array}$$

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN