

RATIONAL SURFACES IN SMOOTH HYPERSURFACES

ROYA BEHESHTI (JOINT WITH JASON STARR)

ABSTRACT. It is conjectured that the class of rationally connected varieties is larger than the class of unirational varieties in dimensions greater than 2. However, no example of a rationally connected variety has been proven to be non-unirational. One type of candidates are general hypersurfaces of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n , $n > 3$.

Considering the above conjecture, some questions arise on rational surfaces contained in hypersurfaces of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n . I will talk about these questions and some results in this direction. This is joint work with Jason Starr.

We work over \mathbb{C} . Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension m . Say that X is *unirational* if there exists a dominant morphism $f: \mathbb{P}^m \dashrightarrow X$.

Rationality implies unirationality.

Unirationality implies rationality in dimension 1 (Riemann-Hurwitz) and in dimension 2 (Castelnuovo's criterion): S is a rational if and only if $h^0(S, \Omega_S) = h^0(S, K_S^{\otimes 2}) = 0$. There are counterexamples in dimension ≥ 3 :

- (1) Griffiths-Clemens: any smooth cubic 3-fold Y in \mathbb{P}^4 . Proving unirationality is easy. To rule out rationality, they use the intermediate Jacobian $J(Y)$. They show that birational smooth 3-folds have isomorphic intermediate Jacobians. But $J(Y)$ is nonzero, whereas the intermediate Jacobian of \mathbb{P}^3 is trivial.
- (2) Manin-Iskovskih: any smooth quartic 3-fold X in \mathbb{P}^4 is non-rational. They showed that X is "super-rigid", which implies that any birational automorphism of X is biregular. They also find some smooth quartic 3-folds X in \mathbb{P}^4 that are unirational. It is not known whether every smooth quartic 3-fold X in \mathbb{P}^4 is unirational.
- (3) Artin-Mumford: a double cover of \mathbb{P}^3 branched along a quartic. They show that $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}$ is birational invariant.

Question 0.1. For what d, n is a general hypersurface X of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n rational/unirational.

If $n \gg d$, then X is unirational. There is no hypersurface with $d \geq 4$ known to be rational.

Definition 0.2. X is *rationally connected* if two general points can be joined by a rational curve.

It is equivalent to require that any two points on X can be joined by a rational curve.

Properties:

- open and closed condition
- local criterion: X is rationally connected if and only if there exists a map $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ such that $f^*T_X = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_n)$ with $a_i \geq 1$.
- $-K_X$ ample implies that X is rationally connected
- conjectural numerical criterion: X is rationally connected if and only if $h^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. (Only one direction is known.)

Unirationality implies rational connectivity. The converse is true for dimension 1 and 2. For each dimension ≥ 3 , it is not known whether the converse is true.

Candidates for counterexamples:

- general hypersurface of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n for large n . It is known that every smooth hypersurface of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n is super-rigid and hence not rational.
- double covers of \mathbb{P}^n branched along general hypersurfaces of degree $2n$ (for n large)
- general hypersurfaces of bidegree $(2, d)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ for d large. These are conic bundles over \mathbb{P}^2 , hence rationally connected.

Conjecture 0.3. A general hypersurface of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n is not unirational for $n \geq 4$.

If X is unirational of dimension m , we have $f: \mathbb{P}P^m \dashrightarrow X$. A general $\mathbb{P}^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ is mapped by f generically injectively to X . So X is covered by rational subvarieties of dimension k for any $1 \leq k \leq \dim X - 1$.

Conjecture 0.4. A general hypersurface of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n is not covered by rational surfaces for $n \geq 5$.

Heuristic dimension counting predicts this. In fact, dimension counting predicts that for $d^2 > n$, no hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n is covered by rational surfaces.

Let X be a general hypersurface of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n .

Theorem 0.5 (Beheshti, Starr). *The images of regular morphisms from del Pezzo surfaces to X sweep out a subvariety of codimension ≥ 2 .*

Theorem 0.6 (Beheshti, Starr). *The images of rational maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow S \subset X$ that map a general fiber $\{t\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ isomorphically onto an $(n-1)$ -normal curve in X sweep out a subvariety of codimension ≥ 2 .*

- $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is k -normal if $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)|_C)$.
- A non-degenerate rational curve of degree $\leq 2n-2$ is $(n-1)$ -normal.

Idea of the proof:

First approach:

Lemma 0.7. *If Y is covered by rational surfaces, then there is a smooth rational surface S with $f: S \rightarrow Y$ such that if*

$$0 \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow f^*T_Y \rightarrow N_f \rightarrow 0$$

with $\text{rk} = \dim Y - 2$, then

$$h^0(S, \bigwedge^k N_f/\text{torsion}) > 0$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq \dim T - 2$. If a divisor in Y is covered by rational surfaces, the same is true for $1 \leq k \leq \dim Y - 3$.

Apply this to X with $\dim X = n - 1$. For S a Del Pezzo surface, we can compute

$$h^0(S, \bigwedge^{n-4} N_f/\text{torsion})$$

and show that it is zero.

Second approach:

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, e)$ be the moduli stack of genus zero stable maps of degree e to X . Let $\overline{M}(X, e)$ be the associated coarse moduli space.

Theorem 0.8. *If M is a subscheme of $\overline{M}(X, e)$ and if*

- *curves parametrized by M cover X or a divisor in X , and*
- *a general point of M parametrizes an embedded smooth $(n - 1)$ -normal curve,*

then M is not uniruled.

Theorem 3 implies Theorem 2.

Idea of proof of theorem 3:

Let \tilde{M} be a desingularization of M . Let \mathcal{C} be the universal curve:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, e) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}(X, e) \end{array}$$

Goal: $H^0(\tilde{M}, \Omega_{\tilde{M}}^{n-3}) \neq 0$.

$H^p(X, \Omega_X^q) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{C}, \Omega_{\mathcal{C}}^q)$, and the Leray spectral sequence maps this to $H^{p-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, e), \Omega_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, e)}^{q-1})$.

Now $H^1(X, \Omega_X^{n-2})$ maps to $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, e), \Omega_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(X, e)}^{n-3})$ which has a trace map to $H^0(\tilde{M}, \Omega_{\tilde{M}}^{n-3})$.

Let $[C]$ be a general point on \tilde{M} . The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \Omega_X^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\tilde{M}, \Omega_{\tilde{M}}^{n-3}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vdots & & \Omega_{\tilde{M}}^{n-3}|_{[C]} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ H^0(\mathcal{C}, \wedge^{n-3} N_{\mathcal{C}/X}) & & \end{array}$$

is used to show that

$$H^1(X, \Omega_X^{n-2}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{M}}^{n-3}|_{[C]}$$

is nonzero.

MSRI