

Group actions on Categories & Langlands duality

I. Index formula on moduli of G -bundles and TQFT.

- Revolves around the Verlinde formula
- Will recall its formulation in twisted K theory
- [Generalization from line bundles to "arbitrary" vector bundles]
- For line bundles, relation between loop group reps and twisted K theory
- Will recall the construction of (twisted) K theory classes from families of Dirac operators.

The same K classes naturally form a basis of simple objects in a linear category

(the fixed-point category for a loop group action on the category of vector spaces)

We'll discuss some puzzling appearance of Langlands dual groups in relation to Lie group actions on categories (and tensor categories)

$G =$ compact Lie group [connected, simply conn.]

$T \subset G$ max torus, W Weyl group $T \cong L$

$T^\vee =$ dual torus

$k \in \mathbb{Z} \cong H^4(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ "level"

$M_G(\Sigma) =$ moduli space of flat G -bundles
on a closed surface Σ

[inherits a complex structure from Σ]

$\mathcal{O}(k) \rightarrow M_G(\Sigma)$ "level k line bundle"

[always exists on the stack, not always on space]

$= \det^{-k} H^*(\Sigma; \text{standard vect. bde})$ for $G = SU(n)$

Intense activity was generated ~ 20 yrs ago

by a formula proposed by E. Verlinde for

$$d = \dim H^0(M_G(\Sigma); \mathcal{O}(k)) \quad (k \geq 0)$$

using ideas from Conformal Field Theory

$d = Z_{G,k}(\Sigma)$, "partition function" for Σ

in a 2d top. field theory, the Verlinde ring

Digression Recall that 2d t₂fts \leftrightarrow
 Commutative Frobenius algebras, algebras A
 with a trace $\theta: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ giving a nondeg.
 pairing $a \times b \mapsto \theta(ab)$.

If A is semi-simple, $\cong \bigoplus \mathbb{C} \cdot P_i$, then
 $\theta(P_i) := \theta_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $Z(\Sigma) = \sum \theta_i^{1-g(\Sigma)}$.

In our case, the Verlinde ring is a Frobenius
 algebra \mathbb{Z} . It is a quotient of the ring
 of representations R_G by the ideal of characters
 vanishing at $\left[\begin{array}{c} F \\ \text{the reg. pts of} \end{array} \right]$ $F = \ker \left(T \xrightarrow{(k+1)} T^V \right)$

the isogeny (k) being defined by the "level"

$$k \in H^4(BG; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(BT; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Sym}^2 \pi_1(T)^V.$$

The projectors P_f range over $f \in F^{\text{reg}}/W$

$$\text{The traces } \theta(P_f) = \frac{\text{vol}(C_f)}{|F|} = \frac{\Delta(f)^2}{|F|}.$$

Substantial work went into proving various cases of the formula (Tsuchiya et al; Beauville et al; Faltings; ...)

A distinction arose between the space and the moduli stack of all algebraic G -bundles. Turned out to be immaterial for holomorphic sections (and higher cohomology of line bundles, which vanishes in both cases)

But for more general vector bundles it became clear that Verlinde-style formulae apply to the moduli stack and not the space.

Recall that Narasimhan-Seshadri identify the moduli space of semi-stable algebraic G -bundles (modulo gauge equivalence) with that of flat G -bundles.

So we are missing unstable bundles (and squashed some things).

The stack of all $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundles

Has an attractive complex-analytic presentation due to G. Segal.

Choose a disk $\Delta \subset \Sigma$ with smooth parametrized boundary $\partial\Delta$. Then, the stack $\mathcal{M}_G(\Sigma)$ is the

double coset $\text{Hol}(\Delta; G_{\mathbb{C}}) \backslash LG_{\mathbb{C}} / \text{Hol}(\Sigma \setminus \Delta; G_{\mathbb{C}})$

where the holomorphic maps have smooth bdy values.

The variety $X_{\Sigma, \Delta} := LG_{\mathbb{C}} / \text{Hol}(\Sigma \setminus \Delta; G_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a complex Kähler homogeneous space for $LG_{\mathbb{C}}$, analogous in many ways to flag varieties of $\mathbb{C}x$ semisimple Lie groups.

As a symplectic manifold it can be realized as $(\text{Flat } G\text{-bundles on } \Sigma \setminus \Delta) / \text{gauge equivalence}$ trivial on $\partial\Delta$.

The LG -action is projective-Hamiltonian (a central extension lifts to the prequantum line bundle)

So holomorphic and cohomological questions on the stack of all G_G -bundles on Σ

\Leftrightarrow $\text{Hol}(\Delta; G_G)$ -equivariant holomorphic and cohomological q.s on $X_{\Sigma, \Delta}$.

Moreover, it seems reasonable to ask LG_G -equivariant questions, and indeed those contain the answers we need.

Example: What is $H^0(X_{\Sigma, \Delta}; \mathcal{O}(k))$ as an LG_G -representation? Turns out the multiplicity of a certain "vacuum" representation inside is equal to $\dim H^0(M_G(\Sigma); \mathcal{O}(k))$.

* While complex analysis on such manifolds is still out of reach, there exists an algebraic model for $X_{\Sigma, \Delta}$ for which we can ask and answer analogous questions.

Example: $H^{>0}(X_{\Sigma, \Delta}^{ab}; \mathcal{O}(k)) = 0$.

("Kodaira vanishing").

Thanks to the work on the Verlinde formula we were in the position to compute $H^0(X_{\Sigma, \Delta}^{\text{alg}}; \mathcal{O}(k))$ and show the vanishing of higher cohomology.

So we had an "analytic index theorem" for these varieties but without a topological side. [This was paradoxical, usually the topological side is easier]

But this required finding a receptacle for the topological index (Riemann-Roch).

- * When G acts on X , RR takes values in $\text{Rep}(G) = K_G(\text{point})$: $K_G(X) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_G(\text{point})$
- * Here, $K_G(\text{point})$ is not "topological" and the map p is not proper.

Turns out these problems have a simultaneous solution.

• Instead of $K_{LG}(\text{point})$, consider

$K_{LG}(A_{S^1})$ for the gauge action of LG on the space A_{S^1} of flat G -connections of the circle

• Instead of projecting $X_{\Sigma \setminus \Delta}$ to a point, use the flat connection model and restrict to the boundary $\mathcal{A}: X_{\Sigma \setminus \Delta} \rightarrow A_{S^1}$.

This is a proper map!

In fact, the based loop group ΩG (at some point on S^1) acts freely and we can

divide out to get

$$\frac{X_{\Sigma \setminus \Delta}}{\Omega G} = G^{2g} \xrightarrow[\text{Commutators}]{\text{product of}} G = \frac{A_{S^1}}{\Omega G}$$

Conjugation
G-action
Conjugation
G-action

leading to a well-defined map

$$K_G(G^{2g}) \xrightarrow{P^*} K_G(G)$$

which is our topological Poincaré-Lefschetz.

Some amendments

We wanted projective representations of LG
with cocycle $k \in H_{LG}^2(\mathcal{O}^*) \ (\overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z}))$

So we should map to the twisted K-group
 ${}^k K_G(G)$. Actually there is an extra shift by
 c (= dual Coxeter number) (= n for $SU(n)$)
coming from the spinors (\sqrt{K}) on $X_{\Sigma, \Delta}$,
and the key theorem is

Theorem [FHT] ${}^{k+c} K_G^{\dim G}(G) =$ free abelian gp
generated by the positive energy irreps of LG
at level k .

Theorem [FHT; generalized by Woodward-T]
Analytical index = topological index for $X_{\Sigma, \Delta}^{\text{alg}}$
for "essentially all" K-theory classes, at least
after inverting $k+c$. [Proof by fixed-point
reduction to max torus, no "conceptual" proof].

Remark H. Posthuma in his thesis has an
account of the line bundle case

II. From Loop group representations to K theory

1. Preparation: Compact groups.

Recall the Kirillov correspondence between
irreducible representations



Co-adjoint orbits (+ line bundles)

In the connected case, it can be summarized
by saying that both of them correspond to the
set of dominant integral regular weights.

Example n -dimensional rep of $SU(2)$

↔ sphere of radius n in $\mathfrak{su}(2) \cong \mathbb{R}^3$

For $SO(3)$, odd radii

But one can describe a canonical correspondence
w/o direct reference to classification of irreps.

Each coadjoint orbit has a symplectic form,

$$\omega_\lambda(\text{ad}_\xi^*(\lambda), \text{ad}_\eta^*(\lambda)) = \langle \lambda | [\xi, \eta] \rangle$$

(for which the \mathfrak{g} -action is Hamiltonian)

For a vector bundle V on the orbit O_λ of which is a sum of line bundles w/ curvature ω and which carries a lifted G -action^{*}, the Dirac index $\mathcal{D}\text{-Ind}(O_\lambda; V)$ is a representation of G , and this establishes the Kirillov correspondence.

Remarks For connected G , V carries no information beyond its rank

When $\pi_1 G \neq 0$, the G -action on V should be projective and cancel the spinor projective cocycle (hence odd radii for $SO(3)$, not even).

The Dirac family construction (Freed, Hopkins, -) provides an inverse to this, assigning an orbit & line bundle w/ G -action to any $\mathcal{D}\text{rep}$.

[It also "categorifies" Kirillov's character formula]

Input: • (irreducible) representation V_λ of G
with highest weight λ

• invariant metric on \mathfrak{g}

• $\wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ Spinor space $S(\mathfrak{g})$ based on \mathfrak{g}

[if you prefer: $\text{Cliff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$ as a right $\text{Cliff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module]

Recall: $\text{Cliff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by \mathfrak{g} with relations

$$z \cdot \eta + \eta \cdot z = 2 \langle z | \eta \rangle$$

• \mathbb{Z}_2 graded, has a filtration with
 $\mathfrak{g}^r \cong \wedge^r \mathfrak{g}$

• If $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0 \pmod{2}$,

$$\text{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{End}(S^+ \oplus S^-)$$

spinors

If $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1 \pmod{2}$,

$$\text{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{End}(S) \otimes \text{Cliff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R})$$

$\cong \mathbb{C}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{e} e^2 = \lambda$

• Kostant's cubic Dirac operator on G :

$$\mathcal{D} : L^2(G; S^+) \rightleftharpoons L^2(G; S^-)$$

$$= R_a \otimes \psi^a - \frac{1}{12} f_{abc} \psi^a \psi^b \psi^c$$

$R_a =$ right transl; $\|\psi^a\|^2 = 1$; $f_{abc} =$ structure consts of \mathfrak{g}

Remark. We have trivialized the tangent bundle (hence the spinor bundle) of G by left translations.

The right translation action of $X_a \in \mathfrak{g}$ on spinor fields would be

$$T_a = R_a + \sigma_a$$

\uparrow adjoint action on $S(\mathfrak{g})$

The Levi-Civita (differential geometric's)

Dirac operator would be

$$R_a \otimes \psi^a - \frac{1}{8} f_{abc} \psi^a \psi^b \psi^c$$

So Kostant's operator favours a bit right translat

Can decompose $L^2(G; S^\pm)$ into

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}^* \otimes \underbrace{V_{\lambda} \otimes S^\pm}_{\text{Right action of } G}$$

\uparrow
Left action

And correspondingly, \not{D} decomposes into

$$\text{operators } \not{D}^{\lambda} : V_{\lambda} \otimes S^\pm \rightarrow V_{\lambda} \otimes S^\mp$$

on finite-dimensional spaces.

Two key properties of \mathcal{D} :

$$\bullet [\mathcal{D}, \psi(z)] (= \mathcal{D}\psi(z) + \psi(z)\mathcal{D}) = 2T(z)$$

total right
action
on spinors

(this is a quantization of

$$\text{Cartan's relation } [d, z(z)] = L_z.$$

$$\bullet \mathcal{D}^2 = -(\lambda + \rho)^2 \text{ on } V_\lambda \otimes S^\pm$$

The Dirac family

is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded vector bundle with fiber $V \otimes S^\pm$ over \mathcal{G} , and odd operator

$$\mathcal{D}_z^V : \mathcal{D}^V + \psi(z) \text{ at } z \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Theorem [FHT] The kernel of \mathcal{D}_z^V is supported on the orbit of $(\lambda + \rho)$ and equal $(\text{Kirillov line bundle}) \otimes (\text{Spinors to normal bdl})$

In fact, \mathcal{D}_z^V is a model for the Atiyah-

Bott-Schapiro K-theory Thom class of

(Kirillov orbit, pre-quantum line bundle)

Corollary The Dirac Index (K-integral) of \mathcal{D}_0^V over \mathcal{G} is V again.

Theorem (Kirillov)

The Fourier transform of the \exp^* -pullback of the character of V (as a half-density on G) is the associated coadjoint orbit.

The Dirac family is a "categorification" of this

$$\text{Rep}(G) \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/2 \text{ graded vector bundles on } \mathfrak{g} \text{ with } G\text{-action \& endomorphism } \not\equiv}$$

we'll improve this to get an equivalence of cats

The "kernel" for this Fourier transform is $T^*G \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ projecting to \mathfrak{g}^* , with Kostant's cubic Dirac operator along the fibers, coupled to the standard connection "pdg". This gives

$$\bigoplus_V V^* \otimes (V \otimes S^\pm, \mathcal{D}^V + \psi(\xi))$$

2. Dirac family for Loop Groups

This implements the isomorphism of abelian groups

$$(*) \quad {}^k \text{Rep}(LG) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^k \text{K}_G(G) \cong {}^k \text{K}_{LG}(A_{S^1})$$

(and can be strengthened to an equivalence of cats)

The Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{L}g} := \widetilde{\mathcal{L}g} \oplus \mathbb{R} \frac{d}{dt}$
 \uparrow central extension

has an invariant bilinear form, and we can identify A_{S^1} with the gauge LG action with the slice at level $(k+c)$ in $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}g})^*$. There is again a Kirillov correspondence between irreps and integral coadjoint orbits.

Kostant's cubic Dirac operator and the Dirac family over A_{S^1} can be defined as before and

Theorem [FHT] The assignment
 $H \mapsto (H \otimes S_{\mathcal{L}g}^\pm, \mathbb{D}^H + \psi(z)) \quad (z \in \mathcal{A})$
 induces the isomorphism $(*)$ of abelian groups

The Dirac family for H is a model of the ABS Thom class for a conjugacy class $C_h \subset G$.

Specifically, with a basis $\Sigma^a(n)$ of Lg
 (ranging over Fourier modes and a basis of \mathfrak{g})

$$\mathcal{D}^H = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_a(n) \otimes \psi^a(-n)$$

$$- \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\substack{m, n \\ \in \mathbb{Z}^2}} f_{abc} : \psi^a(m) \psi^b(n) \psi^c(-m-n) :$$

↑
 normal ordering
 places negative modes
 in last position

acts on $H \otimes S^\pm$ and satisfies

$$\bullet \left[\mathcal{D}^H, \psi^a(n) \right] = 2T_a(n) \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Log action} \\ \text{on } H \otimes S^\pm \end{array}$$

$$\bullet (\mathcal{D}^H)^2 = -2(k+c) \frac{d}{dt} - \underbrace{(\lambda + \rho)^2}_{\text{highest weight of } H}$$

• The kernel of $\mathcal{D}^H + \psi(z)$ is supported on a single gauge orbit of LG in A , and equals the Kirillov line bundle \otimes normal spinor bundle

• (Moral) The K-integral over A recovers H .