

MATH 250A - Lec 25

Recall Thm of last time: We will finish the proof.

Thm: G fin gp, V_i irred reps ($i \in \Phi(G)$),
 χ_i : char of V_i .

Given (V, ρ) rep of G , define (in map $P_i: V \rightarrow V$):
 (3 different notations for \rightarrow) $P_i(v) := \rho(\bar{\chi}_i) \cdot v := \rho\left(\sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) \rho(g)\right) \cdot v$ NOTE: There's a conjugation here now
 this $\rightarrow := \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) [\rho(g) \cdot v]$ " χ_i -weighted average"

Then:

- 1) P_i is a G -rep map, i.e.: $P_i(\rho(g) \cdot v) = \rho(g) P_i(v) \forall g, v$.
- 2) If $V \cong \bigoplus V_i$ \oplus of G then $\text{Im}(P_i) = V_i$ \oplus of V_i .
- 3) $P_i^2 = \frac{|G|}{\dim V_i} P_i$
 ↳ So P_i is almost a projection except P_i^2 scales.

Pfs: 1) Did last class.

2) Suppose $V = V_j$ an irred.

Consider $P_i: V_j \rightarrow V_j$.

We will now prove: $\text{Im } P_i = \begin{cases} \langle v \rangle & i \neq j \\ V_j & i = j \end{cases}$

By 1), P_i is a map of G -reps so by Schur's Lemma,
 $P_i = c \text{Id}_{V_j}$ for some c .

Key idea: Finding eigenvalues of maps individually is hard but we can more easily find traces. Somehow finding all of them in some sense is easier.

(Claim: $\text{Tr}_{V_j}(P_i) = \begin{cases} |G| & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$)

Pf: $\text{Tr}_{V_j}(P_i) = \text{Tr}_{V_j}\left(\sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) \rho(g)\right)$

But Trace is linear:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) \text{Tr}_{V_j}(\rho(g)) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) \chi_j(g) \quad [\text{by defn}] \end{aligned}$$

$\dim V_j$ factor cause

$$\text{Tr}(c \text{Id}_{V_j}) = (\dim V_j) c$$

$$= |G| \langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle \quad [\text{by defn}]$$

Consequence: $(\dim V_j) \cdot c = |G| \begin{cases} 1 & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$ (by ortho normality of χ)

Hence if $i \neq j$, $\text{Im} = \langle 0 \rangle$ (where $P_i = c \text{Id}_{V_j}$)
 $i = j$, $\text{Im} = V_j$

Step 2: Consider general (V, ρ) .

Claim: P_i is functorial (natural in the sense that:

$$(W, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (V, \rho)$$

$$\downarrow P_i \qquad \downarrow P_i$$

$$(W, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (V, \rho) \text{ commutes.}$$

(In fancy language: P_i is an endomorphism of the identity functor of $\text{Rep}(G)$. [Similar to Midsem 1])

Pf: Exer.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Functorial Claim: } \varphi\left(\sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) [\rho(g) \cdot v]\right) &= \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) \varphi(\rho(g) \cdot v) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_i(g) [\rho(g) \cdot \varphi(v)] \\ &= P_i(\varphi(v)) \end{aligned}$$

Fancier Language Equivalence:

Mostly unwinding defns, Consider the identity functor $\text{id}: \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$.

Then an endomorphism of id is a natural transformation from id to itself,

i.e. a choice of map $R_i: (V_i, \sigma) \rightarrow (V_i, \sigma) \forall i$ s.t:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V_i, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (V_i, \sigma) \\ \downarrow R_i & & \downarrow R_i \\ (V_i, \sigma) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (V_i, \sigma) \end{array} \text{ always commutes}$$

Back to (V, ρ) : Suppose $V \cong \bigoplus V_j$

Define: $W_j = V_j \oplus d_j$

$$\varphi_j^e: V_j \hookrightarrow V \quad (e=1, \dots, d_j)$$

Apply our Naturality claim to φ_j^e : (the natural inclusions)

$$V_j \xrightarrow{\varphi_j^e} V$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow P_i \\ P_i \downarrow & & \downarrow P_i \\ V_j & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j^e} & V \end{array}$$

Now: This map is (1) an iso if $i=j$.

(2) 0 if $i \neq j$

This proves the claim (each V_j irred rep scales in itself while every other irred subspace in our direct sum is sent to 0)

3) Was proven halfway through (2)

Recall: $P_i = c \text{Id}$ where $c = \begin{cases} |G| & i=1 \\ 0 & i \neq 1 \end{cases}$

Our second application of P_i will always be on a vector within $V_i \oplus d_i$ so and $P_i = \frac{|G|}{\dim V_i} \text{Id}$ on V_i

New Topic: Rings & Modules

Quick overview of defs:

Def (Ring): A ring R is a set w/ 2 ops: $+$, \cdot , satisfying:

- 1) $(R, +)$ is an abelian gp w/ unit 0.
- 2) (R, \cdot) is a monoid w/ mult unit 1.
- 3) Distributivity axioms are satisfied (look online for full list of these)

Notes: • We allow the zero ring where $0=1$.

• We always have a multiplicative identity.

E.g. 0) Fields

1) $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n$

2) $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

3) $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{n \times n \text{ Complex matrices}\}$. "Matrix ring".

$\hookrightarrow + :=$ entrywise addition.

$\hookrightarrow \cdot :=$ matrix mult.

} Comm rings (meaning (R, \cdot) is commutative)

\leftarrow NOT commutative (if $n > 1$)

Def (K-algebra): If K is a field, a K -alg A is a ring w/ a ring map $c: K \rightarrow A$

i.e. A set map satisfying:

1) $c(k_1 + k_2) = c(k_1) + c(k_2)$

2) $c(k_1 \cdot k_2) = c(k_1) \cdot c(k_2)$

3) $c(0) = 0 \leftarrow$ (not actually necessary)

4) $c(1) = 1 \leftarrow$ (IS necessary)
e.g. $x \mapsto 3x$ in $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ would be a ring hom otherwise

\hookrightarrow (can summarize as a map preserving on $(R, +)$ & (R, \cdot))

Prop/Exer: 1) c is injective (if $A \neq$ zero ring)

2) Equivalently (to our Defn), A is a K -vect sp (wrt $+$) and \cdot is K -linear. (where A is already a ring)

Pf: 1) If $c(a) = 0$ then $c(1) = c(\frac{1}{a} \cdot a) = c(\frac{1}{a})c(a) = 0$.

[Alternatively kernel is an ideal & fields only have 2 ideals]

2) Close to by definition.

\Rightarrow The action is: $f \cdot r = c(f)r$

\Leftarrow The embedding is $c(f) = f \cdot 1$

Examples: ~~\mathbb{Z}~~ , (2), (3) of above & \mathbb{C} itself are \mathbb{C} -algebras.

~~for case of \mathbb{C}~~

Key Example: ("Group algebra of G "):

Let G be a fin gp.

Then: $A = \mathbb{C}[G] = \{f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$.

$\hookrightarrow + :=$ usual addition of fns.

$\hookrightarrow \cdot :=$ convolution:

$$(f_1 * f_2)(x) = \left(\left(\sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \delta_g \right) * \left(\sum_{g \in G} f_2(g) \delta_g \right) \right) (x)$$

$$= \sum_{g_1, g_2 = x} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{In other words: } f_1 * f_2 = \sum_{x \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1, g_2 = x} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2) \right) \delta_x$$

Special Case/Example: Let $f_1 = \delta_{x_1}$, $f_2 = \delta_{x_2}$.

$$\text{Then } f_1 * f_2 = \delta_{x_1} * \delta_{x_2} = \sum_{x \in G} \left(\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_1, x_2 = x \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \right) \delta_x$$

$$= \delta_{x_1, x_2}$$

\hookrightarrow So just think of convolution as \mathbb{C} -linear extension of group multiplication: $x \mapsto \delta_x$

(Δ): This differs from pointwise multiplication.

Pointwise multiplication is also a \mathbb{C} -algebra but ignores group structure & is less interesting

Exer: $\mathbb{C}[G]$ comm $\iff G$ abelian.

\hookrightarrow (Pretty much direct from $\delta_{x_1} \delta_{x_2} = \delta_{x_1, x_2}$)

Exer2: We did not define \hookrightarrow how \mathbb{C} embeds in $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Didn't need to as $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is naturally a \mathbb{C} -vect sp so we can use earlier Prop/Exer.

But ex: Check $c: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$ is $c(z) = z \delta_e$ is the embedding given by viewing it as a \mathbb{C} -vect sp.

Def: (R -module)

Given a ring R , an R -module M is a set w/:

1) $(M, +)$ is an abelian group.

2) We have an action map: $R \times M \rightarrow M$ w/ a bunch of natural properties (look online for full list), e.g. assoc, unital,

3) Distributivity

$\#$ (alternatively can be defined as an ab grp M w/ a ring map $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Ab Grps}}(M)$)

E.g: 1) $R = \text{field } K \Rightarrow R\text{-mod } M \text{ is a } K\text{-vect sp.}$

2) $R = \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow R\text{-mods} = \text{ab grps.}$

3) $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \Rightarrow R\text{-mods are } \mathbb{C}\text{-vect spaces}$

M equipped w/ n commuting endos:

$$x_i : M \rightarrow M, i=1, \dots, n.$$

(This encompasses pretty much all of algebraic geometry)

4) Thm: $R = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow R\text{-mods are all direct sums of}$
(Morita Theory) n copies of the vector module \mathbb{C}^n .

Exer: 5 $R = \mathbb{C}[G]$ group alg.

Then $R\text{-mods} = \text{complex } G\text{-representations.}$

Next Time: • Proof of Morita Theory.

• Relation of it w/ Group representations.