Solutions to Homework #10.

## Section 5.2.

3 (f). Let  $\beta = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$  be given by

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $T(A_1) = A_1$ ,  $T(A_2) = A_2$ ,  $T(A_3) = -A_3$ , and  $T(A_4) = A_4$ . Thus every element of  $\beta$  is an eigenvector for T, so by Theorem 5.4 we will have shown that T is diagonalizable once we show that  $\beta$  is a basis for  $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ . Since dim  $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R}) = 4$ , it suffices to show that  $\beta$  is a spanning set. Observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = aA_1 + \frac{1}{2}(b+c)A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(b-c)A_3 + dA_4$$

Thus  $\beta$  spans  $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ , and T is diagonalizable.

Alternatively, one could let  $\gamma$  be the standard basis for  $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  and obtain

$$[T]_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can now find that the characteristic polynomial of T is  $(t-1)^3(t+1)$ , and show that dim  $E_1 = 3$  by solving  $([T]_{\gamma} - I)x = 0$ .

7. The characteristic polynomial of A is

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 4\\ 2 & 3-t \end{pmatrix} = t^2 - 4t - 5 = (t-5)(t+1).$$

The eigenvalues of A are -1 and 5. Solving (A + I)x = 0 gives

$$x = c(-2, 1), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, solving (A - 5I)x = 0 gives

$$x = c(1,1), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

We now have

$$A^{n} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{n} & 0\\ 0 & 5^{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$
(1)

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n & 0\\ 0 & 5^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1\\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2(-1)^n + 5^n & -2(-1)^n + 2 \cdot 5^n \\ -(-1)^n + 5^n & (-1)^n + 2 \cdot 5^n \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

12. (a) Let  $E_{T,\lambda}$  be the eigenspace of T corresponding to  $\lambda$ , and let  $E_{T^{-1},\lambda^{-1}}$  be the eigenspace of  $T^{-1}$  corresponding to  $\lambda^{-1}$ . We show that these subspaces are equal by showing that they are contained in each other.

Suppose  $x \in E_{T,\lambda}$ . Then  $T(x) = \lambda x$ , and applying  $T^{-1}$  to both sides gives  $x = \lambda T^{-1}(x)$ . Since T is invertible, 0 is not an eigenvalue of T and consequently  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Thus dividing both sides by  $\lambda$  gives  $T^{-1}(x) = \lambda^{-1}x$ , and so  $x \in E_{T^{-1},\lambda^{-1}}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $x \in E_{T^{-1},\lambda^{-1}}$ . Then  $T^{-1}(x) = \lambda^{-1}x$ , and applying T to both sides gives  $x = \lambda^{-1}T(x)$ . Multiplying both sides by  $\lambda$  gives  $T(x) = \lambda x$ , and thus  $x \in E_{T,\lambda}$  and  $E_{T,\lambda} = E_{T^{-1},\lambda^{-1}}$ .

(b) Suppose T is diagonalizable. Then there is a basis  $\beta$  for V such that

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since T is invertible,  $\lambda_j \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$[T^{-1}]_{\beta} = ([T]_{\beta})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{-1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since this matrix is diagonal,  $T^{-1}$  is diagonalizable.

13. (a) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the eigenvalues of A are 1 and 2 and the eigenspaces are 1-dimensional. One can check that the first standard basis vector is a basis for the eigenspace of A corresponding to 1. However, the first standard basis vector is not an eigenvector for

$$A^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Recall that  $E_{\lambda} = \dim \operatorname{Null}(A - \lambda I)$  and similarly  $E'_{\lambda} = \dim \operatorname{Null}(A^t - \lambda I)$ . By the dimension theorem,

$$\dim \operatorname{Null}(A - \lambda I) = n - \operatorname{rank}(A - \lambda I), \qquad \dim \operatorname{Null}(A^t - \lambda I) = n - \operatorname{rank}(A^t - \lambda I).$$

Since  $(A - \lambda I)^t = A^t - \lambda I$ , and rank  $B = \operatorname{rank} B^t$  for all matrices B, we have

$$\operatorname{rank}(A - \lambda I) = \operatorname{rank}(A^t - \lambda I)$$

and thus

$$\dim \operatorname{Null}(A - \lambda I) = \dim \operatorname{Null}(A^t - \lambda I).$$

(c) Recall from the problem description that A and  $A^t$  have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. Let  $m_{\lambda}$  be the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as an eigenvalue of A (and as an eigenvalue of  $A^t$ ). Suppose that A is diagonalizable. Then by 5.9, the characterististic polynomial of A (and thus of  $A^t$ ) splits. If  $\lambda_j$  are the eigenvalues of A, then Theorem 5.9 says dim $(E_{\lambda}) = m_{\lambda}$ . But dim  $E'_{\lambda} = \dim E_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda}$ , so by Theorem 5.9,  $A^t$  is diagonalizable.

18. (a) Note that if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are diagonal matrices, then  $D_1D_2 = D_2D_1$ . Using that fact and Theorem 2.11, we get

$$[TU]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta}[U]_{\beta} = [U]_{\beta}[T]_{\beta} = [UT]_{\beta}.$$

By Theorem 2.20, we can conclude from  $[TU]_{\beta} = [UT]_{\beta}$  that TU = UT.

(b) Let Q be a matrix such that  $Q^{-1}AQ$  and  $Q^{-1}BQ$  are diagonal. As noted above, this means that these matrices commute. Then

$$Q^{-1}ABQ = (Q^{-1}AQ)(Q^{-1}BQ) = (Q^{-1}BQ)(Q^{-1}AQ) = Q^{-1}BAQ.$$

Multiplying the above by Q on the left and  $Q^{-1}$  on the right gives AB = BA.

## Section 5.4.

3. (a) Since  $T(0) \in \{0\}$ , the zero subspace is invariant. Since  $T(V) \subseteq V$  by definition, V is also invariant.

(b) If  $x \in N(T)$ , then  $T(x) = 0 \in N(T)$ , so  $T(N(T)) \subseteq N(T)$ . For all  $x \in V$ ,  $T(x) \in R(T)$  by definition. Thus this holds if  $x \in R(T)$ , and so  $T(R(T)) \subseteq R(T)$ .

(c) If  $x \in E_{\lambda}$ , then  $T(x) = \lambda x \in E_{\lambda}$  since  $E_{\lambda}$  is a subspace. Thus  $T(E_{\lambda}) \subseteq E_{\lambda}$ .

6. (a) We have T(1, 0, 0, 0) = (1, 0, 1, 1), and then T(1, 0, 1, 1) = (1, -1, 2, 2). Applying T again we get T(1, -1, 2, 2) = (0, -3, 3, 3). Row reducing

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can see that  $\{e_1, T(e_1), T^2(e_1)\}$  is linearly independent. However

$$0(1,0,0,0) - 3(1,0,1,1) + 3(1,-1,2,2) = (0,-3,3,3),$$

so  $T^3(e_1) \in \text{span}\{e_1, T(e_1), T^2(e_1)\}$ . Thus  $\{(1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1), (1, -1, 2, 2)\}$  is a basis for the *T*-cyclic subspace generated by (1, 0, 0, 0).

18. (a) If  $a_0 \neq 0$ , then  $f(0) = a_0 \neq 0$  so 0 is not an eigenvalue of A. Thus A is invertible. Conversely, if A is invertible, then  $f(0) \neq 0$ . But  $f(0) = a_0$ , so  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

(b) By the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, we have

$$(-1)^n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 A + a_0 I = 0.$$

Sine  $a_0 \neq 0$ , we can subtract  $a_0 I$  from both sides and divide by  $-a_0$  to get

$$-a_0^{-1}\left((-1)^n A^n + a_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \dots + a_1A\right) = I.$$

Factoring out an A gives

$$I = -a_0^{-1} \left( (-1)^n A^{n-1} + a_{n-1} A^{n-2} + \dots + a_1 I \right) A.$$

Since A is square, we have

$$A^{-1} = -a_0^{-1} \left( (-1)^n A^{n-1} + a_{n-1} A^{n-2} + \dots + a_1 I \right).$$

(c) The characteristic polynomial of A is  $f(t) = (1-t)(2-t)(-1-t) = -t^3 + 2t^2 + t - 2$ . Since 0 is not an eigenvalue of A, it is invertible. By part (b),

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(-A^2 + 2A + I) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

19. We proceed by induction on k. If k = 1, then  $A = (-a_0)$ , and  $\det(A - tI) = -a_0 - t = (-1)^1(a_0 + t^1)$ .

Now assume the result for k, and we will prove it for k + 1. We have

$$\det(A - tI) = -t \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{k-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_k \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^{k+2}(-a_0) \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -t \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the factor  $(-1)^{k+2}$  arises since  $-a_0$  is in the (1, k+1) position in the matrix, and that  $(-1)^{k+2}(-a_0) = (-1)^{k+1}a_0$ . We can compute the determinant of the first matrix above by the induction hypothesis, and the second matrix has determinant 1. Then

$$det(A - tI) = -t(-1)^{k}(a_{1} + a_{2}t + \dots + a_{k}t^{k-1} + t^{k}) + (-1)^{k+1}a_{0}$$
  
=  $(-1)^{k+1}(a_{1}t + a_{2}t^{2} + \dots + a_{k}t^{k} + t^{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+1}a_{0}$   
=  $(-1)^{k+1}(a_{0} + a_{1}t + \dots + a_{k}t^{k} + t^{k+1}).$ 

42. If n = 1, then A = (1) and the characteristic polynomial is t - 1. We now assume n > 1.

Observe that the columns of A are identical, so their span is just span(1, 1, 1, 1), which has dimension 1. Thus rank A = 1, so dim Null A = n - 1 by the dimension theorem. That is, 0 is an eigenvalue and dim  $E_0 = n - 1$ . Also, note that

$$A\begin{pmatrix}1\\\vdots\\1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}n\\\vdots\\n\end{pmatrix}$$

so  $(1, \ldots, 1)$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue n. If  $m_{\lambda}$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ , then by Theorem 5.7 we have  $1 \leq \dim(E_{\lambda}) \leq m_{\lambda}$ . Thus  $m_0 \geq n-1$  and  $m_n \geq 1$ . However, the sum of the multiplicities of the eigenvalues can be at most the degree of the characteristic polynomial, which is n. Thus we must have  $m_0 = n-1$  and  $m_n = 1$ , and A cannot have any other eigenvalues as otherwise the multiplicities would sum to greater than n. Thus the characteristic polynomial of A is  $(-1)^n t^{n-1}(t-n)$ .