

OPENING CLOSED LEAVES OF FOLIATIONS

J. C. HARRISON

Novikov proved that every C^1 codimension one foliation of S^3 has a closed leaf ([5, Theorems 6.1 and 7.1]). In higher codimension, the situation is quite different. According to Schweitzer, if a manifold M has a C^r foliation of codimension $q \geq 3$ with $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, then it possesses a foliation with no closed leaves ([6, Theorem D]). To get the same result in codimension $q = 2$, Schweitzer uses the celebrated Denjoy C^1 -toroidal flow containing a proper minimal set with no closed trajectories (see [1]). Since that phenomenon cannot occur in a C^2 flow on a surface (see [1]) his methods give only C^1 results when $q = 2$.

In [3] the author topologically embeds the Denjoy C^1 vector field in a C^2 vector field defined on a punctured, thickened torus, $N = (T^2 \setminus D^2) \times I$ to obtain a C^2 "flow plug". Much as in Schweitzer, but with an alternate exposition, this flow plug can be modified and used to open closed leaves of C^2 foliations.

Let M be a C^∞ smooth, paracompact manifold without boundary of dimension $k \geq 3$, and \mathcal{F} a C^r foliation of M . A leaf of \mathcal{F} is *closed*, if it is closed as a subset of M .

THEOREM A. *If there exists a C^r foliation \mathcal{F}_0 of M of codimension two, $r = 0, 1$ or 2, then there exists such a foliation \mathcal{F}_1 with no closed leaves.*

In order to prove Theorem A, we reduce the problem to the case where the closed leaves of \mathcal{F}_0 have a locally finite family of disjoint neighborhoods in M . To do this, we use the following lemma and corollary.

LEMMA 1 (Fuller [2]). *There exists a C^∞ non-singular vector field X_1 defined on a neighborhood of the closed unit cube I^3 in \mathbb{R}^3 satisfying*

- (i) $X_1(p) = -\partial/\partial z$ for p in a neighborhood of ∂I^3 ;
- (ii) X_1 has exactly one periodic trajectory;
- (iii) every trajectory of X_1 starting in some open subset of the top face of I^3 enters $\text{Int}(I^3)$ and never exits.

Sketch proof. Let Y_1 be a vector field on the annulus $A = S^1 \times I^1$ such that $S^1 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ is its only periodic trajectory. Let $Y = Y_1 \times 0$ be the trivial product vector field on the thickened annulus $A \times I$. Smoothly embed $A \times I$ in $\text{Int}(I^3)$ so that $A \times \{t\} \subset I^2 \times \{\frac{1}{2}t\}$. Let $Z = -\partial/\partial z$ and suitably average Y and Z to obtain X_1 satisfying (i)–(iii).

COROLLARY 1. *There exists a C^∞ codimension two foliation \mathcal{G}_1 defined on a neighborhood of the closed unit cube I^k in \mathbb{R}^k ($k > 3$) satisfying*

- (i) *near ∂I^k , the first two coordinates of a leaf of \mathcal{G}_1 are constant;*
- (ii) *\mathcal{G}_1 has exactly one compact leaf;*
- (iii) *there exists an open subset W_k of ∂I^k such that if a leaf L of \mathcal{G}_1 meets W_k then $L \cap I^k$ is not closed.*

Proof. We use the vector field X_1 of Lemma 1 to construct a foliation with corresponding properties. Let $n = k - 2$, let D^n denote the unit disk in \mathbb{R}^{k-2} and let $D^n_0 = D^n - \{0\}$. Let $\rho : D^n \rightarrow I$ be the Euclidean norm $\rho(x) = \|x\|$. Then

$$Id \times \rho_0 : I^2 \times D^n_0 \rightarrow I^2 \times (0, 1]$$

is a submersion since $\rho_0 = \rho \mid D^n_0$ is. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the C^2 foliation of I^3 by trajectories of the vector field X_1 . It follows from results of Wilson [7] that \mathcal{H}_1 induces a C^2 foliation $(Id \times \rho_0)^{-1} \mathcal{H}_1$ of $I^2 \times D^n_0$. Near $I^2 \times \{0\}$ the leaves have the same form as $x \times D^n_0, x \in I^2$ (see Lemma 2 (ii)) and thus the foliation extends uniquely to a C^2 foliation \mathcal{G}'_1 of $I^2 \times D^n \subset I^k$ and then trivially to a C^2 foliation \mathcal{G}_1 of I^k .

The leaves of \mathcal{G}_1 have the form $(Id \times \rho)^{-1}(L)$ where L is a leaf of \mathcal{H}_1 . Thus the desired properties (i)–(iii) of the leaves of \mathcal{G}_1 follow from the corresponding properties of X_1 , and therefore of \mathcal{H}_1 given by Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 1 (Wilson). *Every C^r ($r \geq 0$) codimension two foliation \mathcal{F}_0 is homotopic to a C^r foliation \mathcal{F}'_0 whose closed leaves have a locally finite family of disjoint neighborhoods.*

Proof. Using elementary techniques as in [7] one can construct a locally finite family $\{U_\alpha\}$ of disjoint foliation charts such that each leaf of \mathcal{F}_0 passes through some open subset V_α of ∂U_α homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{k-1} .

Let $h_\alpha : I^k \rightarrow U_\alpha$ be a diffeomorphic embedding such that $h_\alpha(W_k)$ contains V_α . If $k > 3$, use h_α to pull over the foliation \mathcal{G}_1 of Corollary 1 onto U_α , for each α , to obtain a new foliation \mathcal{F}'_0 .

Consider any leaf L of \mathcal{F}_0 . Since $L \cap \partial \bar{U}_\alpha$ is connected and the only changes are made inside U_α , then L corresponds to a leaf L' of \mathcal{F}'_0 such that $L = L'$ outside $\bigcup U_\alpha$. By construction L meets some $V_\alpha \subset h_\alpha(W_k)$. Hence L is not closed. Thus there is one closed leaf inside each U_α and no others.

If $k = 3$, use h_α to pull over X_1 of Lemma 1. In this dimension $L \cap \partial \bar{U}_\alpha$ is not connected, but part (iii) of Lemma 1 enables us to use the preceding argument.

The next step is to use the C^2 “flow plug” of [3] to open the closed leaves of \mathcal{F}'_0 .

LEMMA 2. *There exists a C^2 non-singular vector field X defined on a neighborhood of I^3 in \mathbb{R}^3 satisfying*

- (i) *$X(p) = -\partial/\partial z$ for p in a neighborhood of ∂I^3 ;*
- (ii) *X has no periodic trajectories;*

- (iii) if an orbit of X enters at $(x, y, 1)$ in the top face of I^3 and exits I^3 at $(x', y', -1)$ in the bottom face of I^3 , then $x = x'$ and $y = y'$;
- (iv) at least one orbit enters I^3 at $(0, 0, 1)$, say, and never exits.

Proof. See [3, §4].

We construct a similar “plug” for codimension two foliations with isolated closed leaves.

COROLLARY 2. *There exists a C^2 codimension two foliation \mathcal{G} defined on a neighborhood of I^k in \mathbb{R}^k ($k > 3$) satisfying*

- (i) near ∂I^k the first two coordinates of a leaf of \mathcal{G} are constant;
- (ii) \mathcal{G} has no compact leaves;
- (iii) at least one leaf of \mathcal{G} meeting ∂I^k is not closed as a subset of I^k .

Proof. In the proof of Corollary 1, replace \mathcal{H}_1 by \mathcal{H} , X_1 by X , \mathcal{G}_1 by \mathcal{G} and Lemma 1 by Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem A. Apply Proposition 1 to obtain \mathcal{F}'_0 with only isolated closed leaves. Recall the isolated closed leaves $L_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ of Proposition 1. Let $W_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ be a flow box meeting L_α in its interior. If $k > 3$ apply Corollary 2 to give a new foliation structure to W_α which “opens” L_α (see Corollary 2, parts (i) and (iii)) and introduces no new closed leaves (part (ii)). If $k = 3$ use Lemma 2.

References

1. A. DENJOY, ‘Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles à la surface du tore’, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 11 (1932), 333–375.
2. F. B. FULLER, ‘Note on trajectories on a solid torus’, *Ann. of Math.* (2), 59 (1952), 438–439.
3. J. HARRISON, ‘A C^2 -counterexample to the Seifert conjecture’, preprint, Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley.
4. J. MILNOR, ‘Foliations and foliated vector bundles’, Notes, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton 1970.
5. S. P. NOVIKOV, ‘Topology of foliations’, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 14 (1965), 248–278. (Russian; *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (1967), 268–304).
6. P. SCHWEITZER, ‘Counterexamples to the Seifert conjecture and opening closed leaves of foliations’, *Ann. of Math.*, 100 (1971), 386–400.
7. F. W. WILSON, Jr., ‘On the minimal sets of non-singular vector fields’, *Ann. of Math.* (2), 84 (1966), 529–536.

Institut des Hautes Etudes
Scientifiques,
35 Route de Chartres,
91440 Bures-sur-Yvette,
France.

Present address:
Mathematics Department,
University of California,
Berkeley CA. 94720,
U.S.A.