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## Continuity of the integral as a function of the domain


#### Abstract

We present here the fundamentals of a theory of domains that offers unifying techniques and terminology for a number of different fields. Using direct, geometric methods, we develop integration over p-dimensional domains in n-dimensional Euclidean space $\square^{\mathrm{n}}$, replacing the method of parametrization of a domain with the method of approximation in Banach spaces. We prove basic results needed for a theory of integration - continuity of the integral as a function of its domain and integrand (Corollary 4.8) and a generalization of Stokes's theorem (Corollary 4.14).
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#### Abstract

We present here the furdamentals of a theory of domains that offers unifying techniques and terminology for a number of different fields. Using direct, geometric methods, we develop integration over p-dimensional domains in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, replacing the method of parametrization of a domain with the method of approximation in Banach spaces. We prove basic results needed for a theory of integration - continuity of the integral as a function of its domain and integrand (Corollary 4.8) and a generalization of Stokes's theorem (Corollary 4.14).


## 1. Introduction

In 1949, Whitney [15] initiated the study of how the integral of a fixed differential form $\omega$ defined in a neighborhood of a domain $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ varies as a function of the position of $M$. The concept of a domain of integration that emerges extends beyond the usual notion for polyhedra to limits of polyhedra in Banach spaces. Whitney defined the sharp and flat norms for his study. However, it turns out that the boundary operator is not continuous w.r.t. the sharp norm and the Banach space of flat forms is not closed under the Hodge star operator. (See Example 4.3.) Thus, Green's theorem cannot be stated for either sharp or flat domains and there is no Laplace operator $\Delta$ defined for either of them.

Our approach bifurcates from Whitney's starting with his first definitions. The one parameter family of norms of this paper are a blend of Whitney's flat norm [14] and Lebesgue's $L^{1}$ norm [12] (see Sections 2 and 4). Daniell [2] completed step functions w.r.t the $L^{1}$ norm to create the Banach space of $L^{1}$ functions. Lebesgue theory was satisfying in that all naturally arising integrable functions were represented in this Banach space. The author's search has been for Banach space representatives of all naturally arising domains.

The Banach spaces of domains introduced in this paper are denoted $\mathcal{A}_{p}^{r, \alpha}, r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq-1,0<$ $\alpha \leq 1$, and are taken with respect to norms $|P|_{r, \alpha}$, initially defined for polyhedra $P$. The mass of a polyhedral chain or $p$-dimensional Hausdorff measure coincides with $|P|_{0}$. When the dimension $p$ is understood, we write $\mathcal{A}^{r, \alpha}=\mathcal{A}_{p}^{r, \alpha}$ and call its elements $p$-chainlets. We identify the integer $r$ with ( $r-1,1$ ) and order pairs $(r, \alpha)$ lexicographically. (Throughout the paper we assume $0<\alpha \leq 1$. The reader is advised to set $\alpha=1$ for the first reading.)

For $(r, \alpha)>0$, the $C^{r, \alpha}$ differentiability class of a differential form is defined in the standard way, with norm $\|\omega\|_{C^{r a}}$. The exterior derivative of a smooth differential form is the standard analytic

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 2

No Body Text -- translate me! Page 3

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 4

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 5

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 6

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 7

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 8

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 9

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 10

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 11

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 12

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 13

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 14

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 15

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 16

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 17

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 18

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 19

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 20

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 21

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 22

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 23

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 24

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 25

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 26

No Body Text -- translate me!
Page 27

No Body Text -- translate me!
Download PDF ( $1,252 \mathrm{~KB}$ )

|  | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| The journal of |  |
| Geometric Analysis |  |
|  |  |
| tomenow | *nockiom |



## Related Content

## References (15)

## About this Article

Title
Continuity of the integral as a function of the domain
Journal
The Journal of Geometric Analysis
Volume 8, Issue 5 , pp 769-795
Cover Date
1998-09-01
DOI
10.1007/BF02922670

Print ISSN
1050-6926
Online ISSN
1559-002X
Publisher
Springer-Verlag
Additional Links

- Register for Journal Updates
- Editorial Board
- About This Journal
- Manuscript Submission

Topics

- Differential Geometry
- Convex and Discrete Geometry
- Fourier Analysis
- Abstract Harmonic Analysis
- Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory
- Global Analysis and Analysis on Manifolds

Authors

## - Jenny Harrison ${ }^{(1)}$

## Author Affiliations

- 1. Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley


## Continue reading...

To view the rest of this content please follow the download PDF link above.

7,927,459 scientific documents at your fingertips
(C) Springer, Part of Springer Science+Business Media

You have been redirected to our new and improved site.
More info l'm good, don't tell me again
.springer.com

