## 18.156, SPRING 2017, PROBLEM SET 4

1.\* Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is connected and  $\lambda \in \Omega \mapsto A(\lambda)$  is a holomorphic family of Fredholm operators  $\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$  on some Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ . Assume also that  $A(\lambda)$  is invertible for some value of  $\lambda$ . By Analytic Fredholm Theory, we know that  $\lambda \mapsto A(\lambda)^{-1}$  is a meromorphic family of bounded operators  $\mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_1$  with poles of finite rank. Fix a pole  $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$  of  $A(\lambda)^{-1}$  and consider the Laurent expansion (with  $A_0$ holomorphic near  $\lambda_0$  and  $A_1, \ldots, A_J$  of finite rank)

$$A(\lambda)^{-1} = A_0(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{A_j}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^j}, \quad A_J \neq 0.$$

Put  $N := \dim \ker A(\lambda_0) = \dim \ker A(\lambda_0)^*$  and take some bases

 $u_1, \ldots, u_N \in \ker A(\lambda_0), \quad v_1, \ldots, v_N \in \ker A(\lambda_0)^*.$ 

Consider the  $N \times N$  matrix with entries

$$a_{jk} = \langle \partial_{\lambda} A(\lambda_0) u_j, v_k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N$$
(1)

(a) Assume that (1) is the identity matrix. Show that J = 1 and

$$A_1 f = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle f, v_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} \cdot u_j, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

(Hint: augment  $A(\lambda)$  by  $\partial_{\lambda}A(\lambda_0)u_j$  and  $\partial_{\lambda}A(\lambda_0)^*v_k$  to obtain an invertible Grushin problem at  $\lambda_0$  and obtain a Taylor expansion for the terms in the inverse of the Grushin operator. Then use Schur's complement formula.)

(b) Show that J = 1 (i.e.  $\lambda_0$  is a semisimple pole) if and only if the matrix (1) is invertible. (Hint: assuming J = 1, consider the constant term in the Laurent expansion of the identity  $||w||_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 = \langle A(\lambda)A(\lambda)^{-1}w, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$  for  $w \in \ker A(\lambda_0)^*$ .)

**2.** Assume that  $u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is outgoing at some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , that is  $u = R_0(\lambda)g$  for some  $g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define  $f := (P_V - \lambda^2)u$ . Show that  $f \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $u = R_V(\lambda)f$ . (Hint: use analytic continuation but be careful.)

**3.**<sup>\*</sup> Assume that  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is a resonance which is semisimple, that is, with  $B_0(\lambda)$  holomorphic near  $\lambda_0$ ,

$$R_V(\lambda) = B_0(\lambda) + \frac{\Pi_{\lambda_0}}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}.$$

Show that the range of  $\Pi_{\lambda_0}$  is given by

$$\Pi_{\lambda_0}(L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{ u \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid (P_V - \lambda_0^2)u = 0, \ u \text{ is outgoing at } \lambda_0 \}.$$
(2)

If the semisimplicity condition is not satisfied, can you prove that the range of  $\Pi_{\lambda_0}$ consists of outgoing functions? If not, what goes wrong? (Hint: use the identity  $R_V(\lambda) = R_0(\lambda)(I + VR_0(\lambda)\rho)^{-1}(I - VR_0(\lambda)(1 - \rho))$  and express  $(I + VR_0(\lambda)\rho)^{-1}$ via  $R_V(\lambda)$  to make sure that it has a semisimple pole at  $\lambda_0$ . For the other direction, note that every function on the right-hand side of (2) has the form  $R_0(\lambda_0)g$  for some  $g \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $g = -VR_0(\lambda_0)g$ .)

4. Assume that  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is a resonance and  $R_V$  has the Laurent expansion (with  $B_0(\lambda)$  holomorphic near  $\lambda_0$ )

$$R_V(\lambda) = B_0(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(P_V - \lambda_0^2)^{j-1} \prod_{\lambda_0}}{(\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2)^j}.$$

Show that for each  $f \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the function  $u := (P_V - \lambda_0^2)^{J-1} \prod_{\lambda_0} f$  is an outgoing at  $\lambda_0$  solution to the equation  $(P_V - \lambda_0^2)u = 0$ . (The first part of the hint to Exercise 3 is useful here as well.)