

polynomials. This system consists of the face resultants appearing in Pedersen and Sturmfels [1993], Theorem 3.1, plus a certain discriminant which rules out multiple roots.

This note is concerned with following question: *How many of the $v(\mathcal{A})$ complex zeros are real zeros?* Let $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ denote the maximum number of real roots $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{R}^*)^d$ of (1.1) as (c_{ij}) ranges over $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Similarly we write $\rho_+(\mathcal{A})$ for the maximum number of real positive roots \mathbf{x} in $(\mathbf{R}_+)^d$. Counting the 2^d orthants in \mathbf{R}^d , we get the obvious inequality

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2^d \cdot \rho_+(\mathcal{A}). \tag{1.2}$$

The theory of *Fewnomials*, due to Khovanskii [1991], shows that the number of real roots is generally much smaller than the number of complex roots. There exists an upper bound for $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ which depends only on the dimension d and the number of terms $n = |\mathcal{A}|$.

Theorem 1.2 (Khovanskii [1980], [1991]) *The number of positive real roots of (1.1) satisfies*

$$\rho_+(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2^{n(n-1)/2} \cdot (d+1)^n. \tag{1.3}$$

This theorem raises the following natural question.

Problem 1.3 *Find lower bounds and more precise upper bounds for $\rho(\mathcal{A})$, the maximum number of real roots, in terms of the combinatorial structure of the configuration \mathcal{A} .*

Very little is known at present, as is witnessed by a challenging little example.

Example 1.4 *What is the maximum number of real roots of a bivariate system with five terms?* In other words, determine the maximum $\rho(2, 5)$ of the integers $\rho(\mathcal{A})$, where \mathcal{A} runs over all five element subsets of \mathbf{N}^2 .

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(0, 0), (2, 0), (4, 0), (0, 2), (0, 4)\}$. This configuration is the support of

$$(x^2 - 4)^2 + (y^2 - 3)^2 - 13 = (x^2 - 4)^2 - (y^2 - 3)^2 - 5 = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

The Newton polytope $Q = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangle with area 8, therefore $v(\mathcal{A}) = 2 \cdot 8 = 16 \geq \rho(\mathcal{A})$. The specific system (1.4) has all 16 roots real, and therefore $\rho(\mathcal{A}) = 16$.

The resulting inequality $\rho(2, 5) \geq 16$ is the best lower bound for $\rho(2, 5)$ known to me at present. There is an embarrassingly wide gap to the upper bound from Khovanskii's Theorem 1.2. Combining (1.2) and (1.3), we have $\rho(2, 5) \leq 2^2 \cdot 2^{10} \cdot 3^5 = 995, 328$. \square

In this note we venture a first step in the attack on Problem 1.3. We fix $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$, and we consider the perturbed system

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t; x_1, \dots, x_n) &= c_{11}t^{\omega_1}x^{a_1} + c_{12}t^{\omega_2}x^{a_2} + \dots + c_{1n}t^{\omega_n}x^{a_n} = 0 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ f_d(t; x_1, \dots, x_n) &= c_{d1}t^{\omega_1}x^{a_1} + c_{d2}t^{\omega_2}x^{a_2} + \dots + c_{dn}t^{\omega_n}x^{a_n} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

as the real parameter t tends to zero.

Our main result (Theorem 2.2) gives precise bounds for the number of real roots of (1.5) for sufficiently small $t > 0$. As a corollary we get a lower bound for $\rho(\mathcal{A})$. These bounds are stated in terms of *regular triangulations*. This concept is

reviewed in the beginning of Section 2. The proof of our result is given in Section 3.

2 Bounds in terms of regular triangulations

A *subdivision* of the pair (Q, \mathcal{A}) is a collection $\Delta = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m\}$ of subsets of \mathcal{A} such that

- each polytope $Q_i = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ has the full dimension d for $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \dots \cup Q_m$, and
- each intersection $Q_i \cap Q_j$ is a common proper face of Q_i and Q_j , for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

The subsets \mathcal{A}_i are the *cells* of the subdivision Δ . We say that Δ is a *triangulation* of (Q, \mathcal{A}) if each cell \mathcal{A}_i has cardinality $d + 1$. An important subclass of triangulations is the class of *regular triangulations* (see e.g. Billera, Filliman and Sturmfels [1990], Gel'fand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [1990], and Lee [1991]). In what follows we give an algebraic definition of regular triangulation, based on the results in Sturmfels [1991]. Let $y_1, \dots, y_n, z, x_1, \dots, x_d$ be variables, and consider the \mathbf{Z} -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_d, z], \quad y_i \mapsto z \cdot x_1^{a_{i1}} x_2^{a_{i2}} \dots x_d^{a_{id}}, \quad (2.1)$$

with $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{id})$ as before. We define the *toric ideal* $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ to be the kernel of map (2.1). The toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated by all homogeneous polynomials of the form

$$y_1^{\mu_1} y_2^{\mu_2} \dots y_n^{\mu_n} - y_1^{\nu_1} y_2^{\nu_2} \dots y_n^{\nu_n}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mu_1 a_1 + \dots + \mu_n a_n = \nu_1 a_1 + \dots + \nu_n a_n$ and $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n$. The relations (2.2) correspond to affine dependencies of \mathcal{A} .

We fix $\omega \in \mathbf{N}^n$. For each polynomial $f \in \mathbf{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ we consider $f(t^{\omega_1} y_1, \dots, t^{\omega_n} y_n)$ as a univariate polynomial in the parameter t . Its leading coefficient $\text{init}_{\omega}(f)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, called the *initial form* of f with respect to ω . We define the *initial ideal* of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ as

$$\text{init}_{\omega}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) := \langle \text{init}_{\omega}(f) : f \in I_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

For sufficiently generic ω the initial ideal $\text{init}_{\omega}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ is generated by monomials. We now suppose that this is the case. In the language of Gröbner basis theory: the vector ω represents a *term order* for $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. A monomial $y_1^{\mu_1} \dots y_n^{\mu_n}$ is said to be *standard* if $y_1^{\mu_1} \dots y_n^{\mu_n}$ does not lie in $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let Δ_{ω} denote the collection of all $(d+1)$ -subsets $\{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_d}\}$ of \mathcal{A} for which all powers of the square-free monomial $y_{i_0} y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_d}$ are standard.

Theorem 2.1 (Sturmfels [1991]) *The set Δ_{ω} is a triangulation of (Q, \mathcal{A}) .*

A triangulation Δ of (Q, \mathcal{A}) is called *regular* if $\Delta = \Delta_{\omega}$, for some $\omega \in \mathbf{N}^n$. For examples of non-regular triangulations see Billera, Filliman and Sturmfels [1990], Figure 1 and Lee [1991], Figures 2 and 6.

Fix a term order $\omega \in \mathbf{N}^n$, and let Δ_{ω} be the corresponding regular triangulation. Each cell $\mathcal{A}_i \in \Delta_{\omega}$ generates an affine lattice $\mathbf{Z}\{\mathcal{A}_i\}$ of rank d . The quotient of lattices $\mathbf{Z}^d / \mathbf{Z}\{\mathcal{A}_i\}$ is a finite abelian group of order $v(\mathcal{A}_i)$. By standard results on finite abelian groups (Hungerford [1974], Cor. 2.7), there exist unique positive inte-

gers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d , called *invariant factors*, such that m_{i-1} divides m_i for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and

$$\mathbf{Z}^d / \mathbf{Z}\{\mathcal{A}_i\} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/m_1\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/m_2\mathbf{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}/m_d\mathbf{Z}. \quad (2.4)$$

We have $m_1 m_2 \dots m_d = v(\mathcal{A}_i)$. Let $\text{even}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ denote the number of invariant factors m_i which are even. If the integer $v(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is odd, or equivalently, if $\text{even}(\mathcal{A}_i) = 0$, then we call \mathcal{A}_i an *odd cell*. We now state the new result of this note.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $(c_{ij}) \in \mathcal{U}_A$, and let ρ be the number of real roots $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{R}^*)^d$ of the system (1.5) for sufficiently small $t > 0$. This number satisfies the inequalities*

$$\# \text{ odd cells in } \Delta_\omega \leq \rho \leq \sum_{\mathcal{A}_i \in \Delta_\omega} 2^{\text{even}(\mathcal{A}_i)}. \quad (2.5)$$

This theorem has the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.3 *For any configuration $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{N}^d$, the number $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded below by the number of odd cells in any regular triangulation of (Q, \mathcal{A}) .*

Corollary 2.4 *Fix $(c_{ij}) \in \mathcal{U}_A$ and let Δ_ω and ρ as in Theorem 2.2.*

- (a) *If each cell in Δ_ω is odd, then the lower and upper bounds in (2.5) agree and ρ coincides with the total number of cells in Δ_ω .*
- (b) *If each cell $\mathcal{A}_i \in \Delta_\omega$ has unit volume $v(\mathcal{A}_i) = 1$, then all complex roots of (1.5) are real for $t \rightarrow 0$, and we have $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{A}) = v(\mathcal{A})$.*

We illustrate these results for three classes of examples.

Example 2.5 (Dense univariate polynomials) Let c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n be fixed real numbers, let $\omega = (\omega_0, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbf{N}^{n+1}$ such that $\omega_{i-1} + \omega_{i+1} \neq 2\omega_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and let $t > 0$ be sufficiently small. We are interested in the number ρ of real roots of the polynomial

$$f_t(x) := c_0 t^{\omega_0} + c_1 t^{\omega_1} x + c_2 t^{\omega_2} x^2 + \dots + c_n t^{\omega_n} x^n. \quad (2.6)$$

Let $(i_1, \omega_{i_1}), (i_2, \omega_{i_2}), \dots, (i_k, \omega_{i_k})$ be the vertices of the polygon $\text{conv}\{(i, \omega_i), (i, 0) : i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$. We may suppose $0 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k = n$. Let f_{odd} denote the number of differences $i_{j+1} - i_j$ which are odd. Then $f_{\text{odd}} \leq \rho \leq 2(k-1) - f_{\text{odd}}$.

If all differences $i_{j+1} - i_j$ are odd, then $f_{\text{odd}} = \rho$ for all choices of coefficients $c_i \in \mathbf{R}^*$. For instance, choose arbitrary non-zero real numbers c_0, c_1, \dots, c_7 , and consider

$$f_t(x) = c_0 t^3 + c_1 t^4 x + c_2 t^3 x^2 + c_3 t^1 x^3 + c_4 t^1 x^4 + c_5 t^3 x^5 + c_6 t^2 x^6 + c_7 t^2 x^7. \quad (2.7)$$

For small parameter values $t > 0$, the polynomial $f_t(x)$ has precisely $f_{\text{odd}} = 3$ real roots.

Example 2.6 (The cross-polytope) Consider the system of polynomial equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^n c_{ij} \prod_{k=j+1}^n x_k + \sum_{j=0}^n d_{ij} x_0 x_1 \dots x_n \prod_{k=1}^j x_k = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1) \quad (2.8)$$

where the c_{ij}, d_{ij} are real coefficients, and the product over the empty set is defined to be 1. The corresponding set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{N}^{n+1}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the vertices of the regular $(n+1)$ -dimensional cross-polytope. For $n = 2$ the cross-polytope $Q = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ is the octahedron. There is a canonical regular triangulation of \mathcal{A}

into 2^n simplices of unit volume. The number of complex roots of (2.8) equals 2^n , and, by Corollary 2.8, this number coincides with the maximum number $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ of real roots.

Example 2.7 (Bivariate systems) Fix $d = 2$. We write $f(\Delta_\omega)$ for the number of triangles (= cells) in the regular triangulation Δ_ω of $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{N}^2$. An easy count of triangles in planar graphs shows that $f(\Delta_\omega) \leq 2n - 5$. Theorem 2.2 implies

$$\rho \leq 2^2 \cdot f(\Delta_\omega) \leq 8n - 20.$$

Thus for $d = 2$ fixed, the number of real roots of (1.5) for $t \rightarrow 0$ is bounded above by a linear polynomial in n . Note that the Khovanskii upper bound (1.3) is exponential in n .

It is easy to see that the number $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is bounded below by a quadratic polynomial in n . Generalizing Example 1.4, we let $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ be univariate polynomials of degree n , each having n distinct positive roots. Then the bivariate system $f(x) + g(y) = f(x) - g(y) = 0$ has n^2 real roots, but its support set \mathcal{A} has only cardinality $2n + 1$. This shows that in general the number ρ in Theorem 2.2 grows much slower than $\rho(\mathcal{A})$. But how much?

We formulate this as a problem of asymptotic complexity. Let $\rho(d, n)$ denote the maximum of the numbers $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} runs over all n -element subsets of \mathbb{N}^d .

Problem 2.8 For fixed $d \geq 2$, does $\rho(d, n)$ grow polynomially or exponentially in n ?

3 The proof

Our proof of Theorem 2.2 is algorithmic. We describe an explicit procedure for computing the ρ real roots of (1.5) for $t \rightarrow 0$. We start with a “subroutine” for the base case $n = d + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 (Part I: $n = d + 1$). We show that (2.5) holds for the number of roots of (1.1), for all $(c_{ij}) \in \mathcal{U}_A$. Using elementary row operations, we transform the $d \times (d + 1)$ -coefficient matrix (c_{ij}) into a unit matrix plus one extra column. We thus rewrite the system (1.1) in the form

$$\gamma_1 x^{a_1} - x^{a_{d+1}} = \gamma_2 x^{a_2} - x^{a_{d+1}} = \dots = \gamma_d x^{a_d} - x^{a_{d+1}} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where the γ_i are \mathbb{Q} -linear combinations of the old coefficients c_{ij} . Equivalently, we have

$$\gamma_1 x^{a_1 - a_{d+1}} = \gamma_2 x^{a_2 - a_{d+1}} = \dots = \gamma_d x^{a_d - a_{d+1}} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

We now compute the *Smith normal form* of the $d \times d$ -exponent matrix $(a_1 - a_{d+1}, \dots, a_d - a_{d+1})$. This means we construct invertible integer $d \times d$ -matrices U and V such that

$$V \cdot (a_1 - a_{d+1}, a_2 - a_{d+1}, \dots, a_d - a_{d+1}) \cdot U = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d), \tag{3.3}$$

where m_{i-1} divides m_i for all i . As in (2.4), we have $\mathbb{Z}^d / \mathbb{Z}\{\mathcal{A}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_d\mathbb{Z}$, and $m_1 m_2 \dots m_d = v(\mathcal{A})$, the normalized volume of the simplex $Q = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$.

The invertible matrix $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ defines a monoidal transformation of coordinates $x_i \mapsto z^u$. In the new coordinates $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ our system (3.3) equals

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1 z_1^{m_1} = \tilde{\gamma}_2 z_2^{m_2} = \dots = \tilde{\gamma}_d z_d^{m_d} = 1, \tag{3.4}$$

where the $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ are obtained from the γ_j via the monoidal transformation defined by V .

By construction, the number of real roots of (1.1) equals the number of real roots of (3.4). This number is bounded above by $2^{\#\{m_i : m_i \text{ even}\}}$. If $\nu = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_d$ is odd, then at least one of the roots is real. This completes our proof for the case $n = d + 1$.

For the general case we need the following description of the regular triangulation Δ_ω .

Lemma 3.1 *A subset $B \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a cell of Δ_ω if and only if there exist $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbf{Q}$*

$$\text{such that } \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j a_{ij} + \lambda_0 \begin{cases} = \omega_i & \text{if } a_i \in B, \\ > \omega_i & \text{if } a_i \notin B. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof This follows from the equivalent definitions in Billera, Filliman and Sturmfels [1990], (4.4) and Lee [1991], Section 4. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2 (Part II: $n > d + 1$). We view the complex roots of (1.5) as the branches of a vector-valued algebraic function of t as $t \rightarrow 0$. The number of branches equals $v(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{B \in \Delta_\omega} v(B)$. For each cell B of Δ_ω we get $v(B)$ branches. These are computed using the following transformation.

We choose $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \mathbf{Q}$ as in Lemma 3.1. We substitute $x_i \cdot t^{-\lambda_i}$ for x_i and multiply each equation in (1.5) by $t^{-\lambda_0}$ to get the equivalent system

$$\frac{1}{t^{\lambda_0}} \cdot f_i\left(t; \frac{x_1}{t^{\lambda_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{t^{\lambda_d}}\right) = \sum_{a_j \in B} c_{ij} x^{a_j} + \sum_{a_\ell \notin B} c_{i\ell} t^{\gamma_\ell} x^{a_\ell}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, d). \tag{3.6}$$

The exponents γ_ℓ are positive rational numbers.

For $t = 0$ the system (3.6) has $v(B)$ complex roots. We may assume that there are no multiple roots, if necessary, by shrinking the Zariski open set \mathcal{U}_A . Let ρ_B denote the number of real roots at $t = 0$. By the Implicit Function Theorem, the system (3.6) has ρ_B real roots for all parameters t in a small neighborhood of the origin 0.

We have shown that for $t \rightarrow 0$ the number of real roots of (1.5) equals

$$\rho = \sum_{B \in \Delta_\omega} \rho_B.$$

By part I each ρ_B satisfies the desired upper and lower bound. Since these bounds are additive with respect to the cells of Δ_ω , the proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete. \square

References

Bernstein, D.N. [1975] *The number of roots of a system of equations*, Functional Analysis and its Applications, **9** (2), 183–185.
 Billera, L.J., Filliman, P., and Sturmfels, B. [1990] *Constructions and complexity of secondary polytopes*, Advances in Mathematics, **83**, 155–179.

- Gel'fand, I.M., Kapranov, M.M., and Zelevinsky, A.V. [1990] *Discriminants of polynomials in several variables and triangulations of Newton polytopes*, Algebra i analiz, **2**, 1–62; English translation in *Leningrad Math. Journal*, **2** (1991).
- Hungerford, T. [1974] *Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York.
- Khovanskii, A.G. [1980] *On a class of systems of transcendental equations*, Soviet Math. Doklady, **22** (3), 762–765.
- [1991] *Fewnomials*, Translations of Math. Monographs, **88**, American Mathematical Society.
- Kushnirenko, A.G. [1975] *The Newton polyhedron and the number of solutions of a system of k equations in k unknowns*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., **30**, 266–267.
- Lee, C. [1991] *Regular triangulations of convex polytopes*, in Applied Geometry and Discrete Mathematics — The Victor Klee Festschrift, (P. Gritzmann and B. Sturmfels, eds.), American Math. Soc, DIMACS Series Vol. 4, Providence, R.I.
- Pedersen, P., and Sturmfels, B. *Product formulas for resultants and Chow forms*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 214 (1993) 377–396.
- Sturmfels, B. [1991] *Gröbner bases of toric varieties*, Tôhoku Math. J., **43**, 249–261.